©
Government of Kerala
1984

Reg. No. KL/TV(N)/12



KERALA GAZETTE

SUPPLEMENTS

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

Vol. XXIX] Trivandrum Tuesday, 31st July 1984 / 9th Sravana 1906 (Saka) No. 31

PART I

CONTENTS

PAGE

Revenue Department

Section iv

Kerala Gazette No. 31 dated 31st July 1984.
PART I



GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Abstract

KERALA FREEDOM FIGHTER'S PENSION SCHEME—AMENDMENT TO RULE 12 OF THE KERALA FREEDOM FIGHTERS, PENSION RULES—ORDERS ISSUED.

GENERAL ADMINISTRATION (FREEDOM FIGHTERS PENSION—B) DEPARTMENT

G. O. (P) No. 162/84/GAD. **Dated, Trivandrum, 25th May 1984.**

Read:—1. G.O. (P) 143/71/PD dated 22-5-1971.
2. G.O. (MS) 105/76/PD dated 1-4-1976.
3. G.O. (MS) 534/80/GAD dated 24-11-1980.

ORDER

Rule 12 of the Kerala Freedom Fighters' Pension Rules appended to the G.O read as 1st paper above was amended by the G.O. read as 2nd paper. According to the amended rule, pension sanctioned under the rules shall be cancelled with retrospective effect and the amount already paid shall be recovered by the District Collector if it is found that sanction was given on mistaken grounds or on false claim made by the applicant. It also lays down that pension shall be cancelled if the financial condition of the pensioner has improved and in such cases cancellation shall taken effect from the month in which the income of the pensioner has exceeded the prescribed limit, and the recovery of the amount wrongly paid shall be effected.

2. In the above rules, there is no enabling provision to effect recovery of the amount wrongly paid from the properties of the pensioner and in cases where the pensioner dies before the recovery is completed. Further, the condition of income limit prescribed in the rule was dispensed with effect from 24-11-1980 as per the G O. read as 3rd paper above. So, the provisions to cancel pension if the financial position of the pensioner has improved is outdated. Taking the above aspects into account modifications to the the existing rule 12 is considered necessary.

Kerala Gazette No. 31 dated 31st July 1984.
PART I

COVERNMENT OF KERALA

Labour (A) Department

NOTIFICATION

C. O. (Rt.) No. 549/84/LBR. *Dated, Trivandrum, 25th April* 1984.

The award of the Industrial Tribunal, Alleppey in respect of the dispute between The Director, Medical Trust Hospital, M. C. Road, Cochin and their workmen represented by The President, Greater Cochin Private Hospital Employees Janatha, Janatha Party Office, Cannonshed Road, Ernakulam received by Covernment on 18-4-1984 is hereby published under section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947).

By order of the Governor,

A. S. MONI ACHARI,
Deputy Secretary to Government.

In the Court of the Industrial Tribunal, Alleppey

(Dated this the 29th day of Maroh, 1984)

Present:

SHRI K. KANAKACHANDRAN

Industrial Tribunal

In

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE No. 4/82

Between

The Director, Medical Trust Hospital, M. G. Road, Cochin

And

The Workmen of the above concern represented by the President, Greater Cochin Private Hospital Employees Janatha, Janatha Party Office, Cannonshed Road, Ernakulam.

Representations:

Shri M. Ramachandran, Advocate, Ernakulam. } For *Management*

Shri P. F. Francis, Advocate, Cochin. } For *Union*

AWARD

This dispute was initially referred to the Industrial Tribunal, Calicut for adjudication by G O. Rt. No. 138/79/L&H dated 22-1-1979. The issues referred therein were:—

(1) Termination of services of Mrs. Bertil, J., Smt. P.A. Chellamma, Mrs. P. P. Presthina, Mrs. Karmali, Smt. Annie Thomas, Smt. P.M. Gracy, Smt. Ambika Bai and Mrs. Mary Sebastian.

(2) Suspension of Smt. Kamalamma and Mrs. Tresa Benedict.

2. After the reference, jurisdiction of the Industrial Tribunal, Calicut was changed and accordingly the entire files were transferred to this Court for adjudication. In the claim statement filed by the Union a preliminary issue was raised with regard to the validity of the enquiry conducted against the workmen concerned in the dispute. By an order dated 27-1-1983 I held that the enquiry conducted by the Enquiry Officer was fair and proper and the findings were not perverse. Then after the matter was posted for further evidence to examine the question of validity of the suspension and termination of the employees.

3. Before starting of evidence, the parties concerned in the dispute entered into negotiations and the issues referred for adjudication were settled. Pursuant to the settlement a joint petition duly signed by both parties was filed before this Court praying that an award might be passed incorporating the terms of settlement. The operative portions of the terms of settlement read as follows:—

(1) The management agrees to pay an additional sum equivalent to the compensation, that had already been offered to the workmen whose services were terminated. The total amount thus payable to the eligible persons have been separately given below as appendix. As a special gesture, management agrees to pay Smt. Mary Sebastian a sum as compensation, notwithstanding her dismissal.

(2) The workmen agree to accept the amount so offered in view of the circumstances that they are alternately employed; and are not interested in reinstatement. The amount is mutually agreed as in full and final satisfaction of all the claims of the workmen named in the reference order, including rights of reinstatement. No other claims urged are pressed in view of the settlement.

(3) The Union agrees that the suspension awarded to Smt. Kamalamma and Mrs. Tresa Benedict was for proper reasons, and no review of the same is required.

4. The following is the details showing the compensation received by the workmen concerned in the dispute : —

Appendix

	Name	*Amount payable* Rs.	*Exgratia* Rs.	*Total* Rs.
1.	Mrs. Bertil, J.	270.00	270.00	540.00
2.	P. A. Chellamma	195.00	195.00	390.00
3.	P. P. Presthina	195.00	195.00	390.00
4.	Mrs. Karmali	195.00	195.00	390.00
5.	Smt. Annie Thomas	195.00	195.00	390.00
6.	Smt. P.M. Gracy	195.00	195.00	390.00
7.	Ambika Bai	510.00	510.00	1020.00
8.	Mrs. Mary Sebastian	650.00		650.00
	Total			4160.00

In the result an award is passed incorporating the terms of settlement extracted above.

Alleppey.

K. Kanakachandran,
Industrial Tribunal.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Labour (A) Department

NOTIFICATION

G. O. (Rt.) No. 385/84/LBR. *Dated, Trivandrum, 22nd March 1984.*

The award of the Industrial Tribunal, Alleppey in respect of the dispute between the Director, Mittal Steel Re-rolling & Allied Industries Ltd., P.O. Wadakkanchery Cochin- Trichur (District) and the workman of the above concern Sri P. G. Jacob Panthalammuriyil House, Puthuppally, P. O. Kottayam received by Government on 20-3-1984 is hereby published under section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947).

By order of the Governor,
A. S. Moni Achari,
Deputy Secretary to Government.

In the Court of the Industrial Tribunal, Alleppey

(Dated, this the 9th day of February, 1984)

Present:

Shri K. KANAKACHANDRAN,
Industrial Tribunal

In

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE No. 67/1982.

Between

The Director, Mittal Steel Re-rolling & Allied Industries Ltd.,
P.O. Wadakkanchery, Cochin, Trichur (District)

And

The workman of the above concern Sri P. C. Jacob,
Panthalammuriyil House, Puthuppally, P.O. Kottayam

Representations:—

Shri A.V. Xavier,
Advocate, Ernakulam — For *Management*

Shri M. Ramachandran,
Advocate, Cochin-17 — For *Workmen*

AWARD

By G.O. (Rt.) No. 895/82/ LBR dated 17-8-1982, the issue of retrenchment of one P. C. Jacob from the Management establishment was referred for adjudication. The parties concerned in the dispute had filed the statements and there after evidence was also adduced. However the parties concerned entered into negotiations and settled the issue.

A compromise petition was filed before this Court on 8-2-1984. The terms contained in the petition are :—

1. Management agrees to withdraw the retrenchment notice issued to the workman and reinstate him as a staff member in the management establishment immediately on resumption of work in the company.

2. The workman agrees to forego all his claims for back wages and other monitary benefits including the claim urged in the claim petition No. 7 of 1982 before the Labour Court, Ernakulam. This is in view of payment of an amount of Rs. 2666.50 in full and final settlement of all such claims.

3. The above said amount shall be paid by the management within three months from this date or within one month from the dat e on which company resumes work, whichever is earlier. The workman will not be entitled to any other amounts, but the service will be deemed to be uninterrupted.

In view of the settlement of issue an award is passed incorporating the terms contained in the compromise petition.

Dated 9th day of February, 1984.

K. KANAKACHANDRAN,
Industrial Tribunal.

Kerala Gazette No. 31 dated 31st July 1984.
PART I

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Labour (A) Department

NOTIFICATION

G.O. (Rt.) No. 547/84/LBR. *Dated, Trivandrum, 25th April 1984*

The Award of the Labour Court, Ernakulam in respect of the dispute between the President, Trichur District Leprosy Patients Industrial Co-operative Society Ltd. No. S. Ind. (R) 206, Muthuvara P.O., Puzhakkal, Trichur and the workman of the above concern Shri A. K. Mathew, Alappad Veedu, P.O. Puranattukara, Trichur received by Government on 18-4-1984 is hereby published under section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947).

By order of the Governor,
A. S. Moni Achari,
Deputy Secretary to Government.

In the Labour Court, Ernakulam

Thursday, the 12th April 1984

Present :

Shri N. SUKUMARAN, B. SC., B. L.,

Presiding Officer

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE No. 37 OF 1981

Between

The President, Trichur District Leprosy Patients Industrial Co-operative Society Ltd. No. S. Ind. (R) 206, Muthuvara P. O., Puzhakkal, Trichur.

And

The Workman of the above concern Shri A. K. Mathew, Alappad Veedu, P. O. Puranattukara, Trichur.

Representations :—

Shri V. V. Premachandran, Advocate, Trichur-3.	For *Management*
Shri K. V. K. Panicker, Trade Union Leader, Trichur.	For *Workman.*

GA. 312/J.

AWARD

The issue referred for adjudication by Government as per G.O. (Rt.) No. 643/81/LBR dated 20-5-1981 is "Dismissal of Sri A.K. Mathew."

2. There is no Union espousing the cause of the workman. In the charter of demands as well as the rejoinder filed before this Court Shri A.K. Mathew complains that he who was continuously serving the Management Society as a permanent employee from 16-4-1979 was denied employment with effect from 21-11-1979 without assigning any reasons. Another complaint is that he was not paid his salary for the whole of October and till 15th of November 1979. Reinstatement with all benefits is what is prayed for.

3. In the written statement the Management Society contends of follows :—

Shri Mathew was not a permanent employee of the Society. He was not qualified to be appointed as a regular employee. He was also overaged. Still he was engaged occasionally to do some work of casual nature for which he was paid then and there. There was no appointment order or sanction for his appoitment. He committed various irregularities in discharging the temporary duties assigned to him and so he was placed under suspension on 19-11-1979. Then he abandoned the job even without receiving the suspension order. He was not denied employment nor he was dismissed. All the allegations raised by Shri Mathew are false and he is not entitled to any reliefs.

4. The issue as referred does not reflect the real nature of the dispute. The parties involved do not have a case that Shri Mathew was dismissed. Even Shri Mathew's complaint is only that he was denied employment. The contention is that there was an abandonment. So the issue as is referred can straight away be answered on the basis of the pleadings of the parties by saying that there was no dismissal at all. However there is a real dispute and what is involved is as to whether Shri Mathew was denied employment. Incidentally, the question as to whether he was a workman entitled to permanency may also arise.

5. Shri Mathew has given evidence as WW1. He has also produced and proved Exts. W1 to W3, copies of communications issued by him to the Society after the dispute arose. The President of the Society was examined himself as MW1. That is all the evidence available.

6. The main contention of the Society is that Shri Mathew was not employed on a permanent basis and that his services were utilised occasionally for which remuneration was paid then and there. It is common tase that this society was constituted for the welfare of leprosy patients and that its main activity is to make candles. The candles are made by ahe members and it is not the case of Shri Mathew that he was employed in connection with the process of manufacture. It is admitted by him that

there are regular employees, viz., the Secretary and a Clerk apart from the members engaged in the manufacturing process. What he claims is that he was attending to correspondence requesting for donations. He states further that rarely he was deputed to sell candles. But his case is that his main duty was as a correspondent. It is admitted by him that was not signing the acquittance roll and the payments are made against vouchers. On his own admission Shri Mathew is an Ex-serviceman. What he states before me is that he was 60 years when he gave evidence. That was on 8th of March 1984. That this claim is not correct is evident from his own statement. He had stated that he had passed the S.S.L.C. examination at the age of 16 in 1935. So he must have been 64 when he gave evidence. As per his claims he was appointed in the Society on 16-4-1979. Evidently he must have passed the age of 58 years on that day. 58 is the age for the normal retirement in Co-operative Societies. Shri Mathew had stated in his evidence that he is possessed of an appointment order under which he was employed in the Society. He agreed to produce the same. But it was not done. The President of the Society as MW1 states that Shri Mathew was not appointed as a regular employee at all and that his services were occasionally utilised since he was an old man without any job and that he was being paid some remuneration then and there when the work allotted was turned out. When all these materials available in evidence are considered it can safely be concluded that Shri Mathew was not appointed as a permanent employee. The probability is to come to the conclusion that his services were utilised for occasional correspondence. So Shri Mathew's case that he was a permanent employee entitled as a matter of right to have continued employment cannot be accepted as correct. From the data furnished by him in the charter of demands it is evident that he had not completed even 240 days work. In these state of affairs the contention of the Society that Shri Mathew was not a permanent employee and that only his services were occasionally utilised has to be accepted as correct.

7. It is admitted by the Society that Shri Mathew was placed under suspension. But the further case pleaded by the Society is that Shri Mathew abandoned the job knowing about the suspension even without receiving the suspension order and therefore it was felt unnecessary to conduct any further disciplinary proceedings. Whatever it be Shri Mathew is not entitled to have a permanent place in the Society since he has passed the age of superannuation even when he started service in the Society and he was only a casual employee. He had not even completed 240 days continuous service. In these state of affairs I can only say that Shri Mathew was not denied employment and therefore he is not entitled to any reliefs.

8. In the result an award is passed in the following terms:—

There was no dismissal as the issue indicates. There was also no denial of employment as complained. Shri Mathew is not entitled to any sort of reliefs.

Kottayam,
12-4-1984.

N. SUKUMARAN,
Presiding O icer.

Appendix

Witness examined on the Management's side:

MW1. Shri Varghese.

Witness examined on the Workman's side:

WW1. Shri A. K. Mathew.

Exhibits marked on the Workman's side:

Ext. W1. Copy of a communication dated 30-8-1980 from Shri Mathew to the Management.

,, W2. Copy of a complaint dated 30-8-1980 addressed to the District Labour Officer by Shri Mathew.

,, W3. A joint conference notice dated 10-9-1980 from the Deputy Labour Officer.

Kerala Gazette No. 31 dated 31st July 1984.
PART I

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Labour (A) Department

NOTIFICATION

G.O. (Rt.) No. 551/84/LBR. *Dated, Trivandrum, 25th April 1984.*

The award of the Labour Court, Ernakulam in respect of the dispute between the Executive Director, M/s Jayant Tubes (P) Ltd., South Kalamassery, Cochin-683 014 and the workman of the above concern Smt. P. P. Santha, Punnakkad House, Kaloor, Cochin-17 received by Government on 18-4-1984 is hereby published under section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947).

By order of the Governor,
A. S. MONI ACHARI,
Deputy Secretary to Government.

In the Labour Court, Ernakulam

(Wednesday, the 11th April 1984)

Present:

SHRI N. SUKUMARAN, B. SC., B. L.,

Presiding Officer

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE No. 71 OF 1981

Between

The Executive Director, M/s Jayant Tubes (P) Ltd., South Kalamassery, Cochin-683 014

And

The workman of the above concern Smt. P. P. Santha, Punnakkad House, Kaloor, Cochin-17.

Representations—

Shri O. Balanarayanan, Advocate, Cochin-16. } For *Management*

Shri K. Janardhanan. Ardvocate, Ernakulam. } For *Workman*

GA.307/J.

AWARD

Dismissal of Smt. P. P. Santha is the issue referred for adjudication by Government as per G.O. (Rt.) No. 888/81/LBR dated 17-7-1981.

2. Smt. Santha was a Typist of the Management, a private Limited Company. While so disciplinary proceedings were initiated against her as per the original of Ext. M1 show cause notice dated 13-10-1979. The allegations were that she was very careless in executing the duties assigned to her and that she used to quarrel very often with the co-workers and also that she was refusing to obey the lawful directions of the superiors. Yet another allegation was that she very often used to sleep during office hours. A specific example of having committed 24 mistakes in a fair copy typed by her on 8th of October 1979 was also pointed out. In Ext. M2 explanation Smt. Santha practically admitted most of the allegations, but stated that it is only normal for Typists to commit mistakes. Still the Management conducted a domestic enquiry through MW1. Smt. Santha had participated in the Enquiry, she having been given notice of the same as per Ext. M3 notice. One witness was examined at the Enquiry on the side of the Management and certain documents were also marked. Smt. Santha did not cross-examine the witness offered for that purpose. But she made certain statements. The relevant papers are contained in Ext. M4 file. The finding of the Enquiry Officer is Ext. M5. There Smt. Santha was found guilty of the allegations. Accepting those findings the Management awarded the punishment of dismissal as per Ext. M6.

3. In the charter of demands as well as the rejoinder filed before this Court Smt. Santha while pleading innocence has raised a vague contention that there was no proper and valid domestic enquiry. Reinstatement of the workman with all benefits is what is prayed for. In answer the Management in its written statement defends its action by saying that there was a proper and valid domestic enquiry and that the findings of the Enquiry Officer are correct. It is also stated that the punishment is proportionate to the gravity of the misconducts proved and therefore there are no reasons for interference.

4. The Enquiry Officer was examined and Exts. M1 to M6 proved. It was conceded by both sides at the time of arguments on the preliminary question as to whether there was a valid and proper domestic enquiry that a consolidated award may be passed on the available material even without a preliminary order and that is why I am passing this composite award without a preliminary order.

5. This is a case where disciplinary proceedings were initiated by issuing a charge memo containining the details of the allegations which were practically admitted in the explanations. In such circumstance there was no necessity for a domestic enquiry. However the Management conducted a domestic enquiry through MW1. Smt. Santha participated in the enquiry. The Executive Director of the Company gave evidence at the enquiry. He was offered for cross-examination. But Smt. Santha

did not choose to cross-examine him. Instead she gave a statement which was also recorded by the Enquiry Officer. Thus the enquiry was closed and the findings of guilt rendered. Thus it can be seen that the workman was given all necessary chances to defend herself. The enquiry was therefore proper and there cannot also be a legitimate criticism that the findings are perverse since there is the admission of the delinquent as well as the unchallenged evidence admitted at the enquiry. So the findings are also correct and what remains for consideration is only the propriety of the punishment.

6. Smt. Santha is a Typist. That she had committed as many as 24 mistakes while preparing a fair copy on the basis of a draft which did not contain those mistakes is a sufficient indication from which it can be said that the work of Smt. Santha was most unsatisfactory. The allegation that she used to read novels during office hours neglecting her duties is also in a way admitted and that shows that she was not at all interested in performing her duties with devotion. There are other proved allegations that she was quarrelsome and disobedient. It would be too harsh for the Management if an employee behaving in this manner is directed to be reinstated. So reinstatement is out of question. However dismissal is also too heavy. Considering all the aspects I direct the Management to pay a sum of Rs. 2,000 (Rupees two thousand) as compensation to Smt. Santha. She is not entitled to any other benefits. An award is passed accordingly.

Ernakulam,
11-4-1984.

N. SUKUMARAN,
Presiding Officer.

Appendix

Witness examined on the Management's side :—

MW1 Shri K. Radhakrishnan.

Exhibits marked on the Management's side :—

Ext.M1. Copy of show cause memo dated 13-10-1979 issued to Smt. Santha.

" M2. Explanation of Smt. Santha dated 15-10-1979.

" M3. Copy of a memo dated 30-10-1979 from the Management to Smt. Santha.

" M4. Enquiry proceedings.

" M5. Findings of the Enquiry Officer.

" M6. Termination order dated 15-12-1979 issued to Smt. Santha.

Kerala Gazette No. 31 dated 31st July 1984.
PART I

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Labour (A) Department

NOTIFICATION

G.O. (Rt.) No. 555/84/LBR. *Dated, Trivandrum, 26th April 1984.*

The award of the Labour Court, Ernakulam in respect of the dispute between the Chief Executive, Premier Cable Company Limited, Karukutty P. O.: Ernakulam District and their workmen represented by the General Secretary, Premier Cables Staff Association, Karukutty P. O., Ernakulam District received by Government on 23-4-1984 is hereby published under section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Gentral Act XIV of 1947).

By order of the Governor,
A. S. MONI ACHARI,
Deputy Secretary to Government.

In the Labour Court, Ernakulam

(Monday, the 16th April 1984)

Present :

SHRI N. SUKUMARAN, B.SC., B.L.,
Presiding Officer.

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE No. 49 of 1982

Between

The Chief Executive, Premier Gable Company Limited,
Karukutty P. O., Ernakulam District.

And

The workmen of the above concern represented by the General Secretary, Premier Cable Staff Association, Karukutty P. O., Ernakulam District.

Representations :

M/s Menon & Pai, Advocates, Ernakulam. — For *Management.*

Shri K. V. K. Panicker, Trade Union Leader, Trichur. — For *Union.*

AWARD

The issue referred for adjudication by Government as per G.O. (Rt.) No. 1143/82/LBR dated 21-10-1982 is the following:—

> "Whether the appointment of S/Sri C. K. Joseph and K. Raveendran, Watchmen on contract basis is proper and legal in view of the existing service conditions. If not, the relief they are entitled."

2. Pleadings had been advanced on both sides and the case was coming up for evidence at which stage the parties have filed a memo of settlement. It is requested that an award may be passed in terms of that settlement which reads ;

(i) The Management agrees to make S/Sri C. K. Joseph and K. Raveendran as permanent Watchman in Grade III in the scale of Rs. 70-4-90-5-115-6-145 with effect from the 1st January 1984.

(ii) Parties to suffer costs.

As desired by the parties an award is passed in terms of the above settlement which appears to be just and fair.

N. SUKUMARAN,
Presiding Officer.

Ernakulam,
16-4-1984.

Kerala Gazette No. 31 dated 31st July 1984.
PART I

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Labour (A) Department

NOTIFICATION

G.O. (Rt.) No. 574/84/LBR. *Dated, Trivandrum, 30th April 1984.*

The award of the Labour Court, Ernakulam in respect of the dispute between the Management of M/s Hindustan Foods, Post Bag No. 878, Valummel Road, Cochin-682 005 and their workman represented by Smt. K. J. Annie Maria, Chennat House, Pachalam, Cochin-682 012 received by Government on 26-4-1984 is hereby published under section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947).

By order of the Governor,
A. S. Moni Achari,
Deputy Secretary to Government.

In the Labour Court, Ernakulam

(Monday, the 23rd April, 1984)

Present:

Shri N. SUKUMARAN, B. SC., B. L.,

Presiding Officer

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE No. 8 OF 1983

Between

M/s Hindustan Foods, Post Bag No. 878, Valummel Road, Cochin-682 005

And

The workman of the above concern Smt. K. J. Annie Maria, Chennat House, Pachalam, Cochin-682 012.

Representations:—

M/s M.V. Joseph,
A. V. Xavier &
B. Ranjit Kumar,
Advocates,
Ernakulam. } For *Management.*

Shri M. Ramachandran,
Advocate,
Ernakulam. } For *Workman.*

AWARD

1. The issue referred for adjudication by Government as per G.O. (Rt.) No. 74/83/LBR dated 20-1-1983 is "Termination of services of Smt. K.J. Annie Maria".

2. In the charter of demands, copy of which is appended to the reference, it is complained that the services of Smt. Annie Maria was abruptly terminated by the Management without any reasonable cause on 21-4-1981 and the demand there was for reinstatement with all benefits. In the rejoinder filed before this court the position is clarified further by saying that the workman employed in August 1977 and having continuous service was retrenched without observing the formalities insisted by Sec. 25-F of the Industrial Disputes Act (referred as the Act hereafter). It is further stated that she was drawing a monthly salary of Rs. 400 at the time of the termination and that she was left without any job until she secured a temporary work in June 1982. When the worker was examined as WW1 before me it is admitted by her that she had been confirmed in the alternate temporary job of which reference is made in the rejoinder and she is therefore not claiming reinstatement but only compensation.

3. The contention of the Management is that the service of Smt. Annie Maria was terminated on the ground of continued illhealth and therefore there was no retrenchment for which necessary formalities had to be observed. According to the Management Smt. Annie Maria had secured an alternate job soon after the termination and therefore she is not entitled to any reliefs.

4. Smt. Annie Maria was examined as WW1. She also produced and proved Exts. W1 to W4. That is all the evidence available.

5. Ext. W3 is the order dated 21-4-1981 under which the services of Smt. Annie Maria were terminated. It reads:

> "Your habitual absence from duty has caused and is causing serious dislocation of the work in the Accounts Section. Consequently, we are left with no alternative other than terminating your services with effect from 1-5-1981 to safeguard the interests of the Company."

On the 14th of May 1981 the Management had issued Ext. W4 to the ex-employee offering her Rs. 391.75 in full settlement of her accounts. It is the admitted case that the cheque forwarded along with Ext. W4 was returned by WW1. Ext. W3 termination was preceded by Ext. W1 show cause notice and Ext. W2 reply. Ext. W1 dated 3-4-1981 states that the employee had availed of 86½ days leave during the financial year 1980-81 against the total of 300 working days. After giving the break up of the leave availed of it was stated as follows:—

> "As you appear to be physically unfit to attend to your normal duties please show cause why your appointment should not be terminated within one week of your receiving this letter."

The employee in her explanation Ext. W2 has stated that she is perfectly all right and she can join duty on 17-5-1981. It is the admitted case that she got herself married in May 1980 and had a child on the 6th of November 1981.

6. Now the contention of the Management is that the services of Smt. Annie Maria were terminated on the ground of continued ill-health and therefore it does not amount to a retrenchment as that term is defined in Sec. 2 (00) of the Act. From Ext. W1 it can be seen that this employee was attending to work in all months of the financial year 1980-81 except on intervals for which she admittedly had applied for leave. Ext. W1 shows that the days of absence were treated as leave. Out of the 300 working days during the interval she was not continuously absent on the ground of illness and the leave applied for spreads over the entire period and it relates to 86½ days, evidently meaning that she had worked for 213½ days. So this cannot be treated as a case of continued ill-health so as to attract the exemption provided in the definition of the term "retrenchment". It is also important to notice that Ext. W3 termination does not mention continued ill-health as a reason for the termination. It only states that there was absence causing dislocation of work. If it is treated as a misconduct then it cannot be treated as a retrenchment for a valid reason. Evidently the termination amounts to a retrenchment since the exemption is not applicable. Admittedly the formalities as per Sec. 25-F of the Act had not been complied. So this is a case of illegal termination.

7. Admittedly Smt. Annie Maria has secured a better employment now fetching Rs. 1,000 as monthly income. She has stated in her evidence that she secured that alternate employment from 14-6-1982. She is not claiming reinstatement now. She wants only compensation for the illegal termination. Since now she had obtained alternate job the compensation can be limited to what would have been payable for a legal retrenchment. Her pay at the time of termination was Rs. 400 per mensem. She had put in four years service. So two months salary as compensation in addition to one month's salary as notice pay will be adequate compensation in the circumstances of this case. It works out to Rs. 1200. That is fixed as compensation payable.

8. In the result an award is passed directing the Management to pay a sum of Rs. 1200 (Rupees one thousand and two hundred) as compensation to the employee Smt. Annie Maria whose services were terminated. The employee is not entitled to any other reliefs.

Ernakulam,
23-4-1984.

N. SUKUMARAN,
Presiding Officer.

Appendix

Witness examined on the Workman's side :

WW1 Smt. Annie Maria

Exhibits marked on the Workman's side :

Ext. W1	Copy of show cause notice dated 3-4-1981 issued to Smt. Annie Maria.
,, W2	Copy of Smt. Annie Maria's reply to Ext. W1.
,, W3	Copy of termination order dated 21-4-1981 issued to Smt. Annie Maria.
,, W4	Copy of a letter dated 14-5-1981 from the Management to Smt. Annie Maria.

Kerala Gazette No. 31 dated 31st July 1984.
PART I

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Labour (A) Department

NOTIFICATION

G. O. (Rt.) No. 577/84/LBR. *Dated, Trivandrum, 30 th April 1984.*

The award of the Labour Court, Kozhikode in respect of the dispute between the Director The Provident Investment Company Limited, Beenachi Estate (P.O.) Beenachi, S.Battery, Wynad and their workmen represented by the Secretary, Kozhikode District Plantation labour Congress, (P.O.) Meppadi received by Government on 23-4-1984 is hereby publihed under seetion 17 of the Industrial Disputes Acr, 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947).

By order of the Governor,
A. S. MONI ACHARI,
Deputy Secretary to Government.

In the Labour Court, Kozhikode, Kerala State
(Dated this the 27th day of March, 1984)

Present:

HAJEE P. A. SHAHUL HAMEED, B.A., B.L.,
Presiding Officer

In

I.D.No. 46/83

Between

The Director, The Provident Investment Company Limited Beenachi Estate, (P.O.) Beenachi, S. Battery, Wynad. } *Management.*

And

The Secretary, Kozhikode District Plantation Labour Congress, (P.O.) Meppadi. } *Union.*

Representations:—

Sri K.P. Kesava Menon, Advocate Calicut .. For the *Management.*

GA. 317/J.

AWARD

1. This Industrial Dispute was referred by the Government as per G.O.(RT) No.940/83/LBR dated 6-8-1983 regarding the dismissal of Sri N.M. Basheer, Driver with effect from 2-4-1983. When the reference was received in this court, notices were issued to both parties.

2. Though notice was served on the union and the management, the management only appeared through counsel and filed a statement. The union has not appeared or filed any statement. The name of the union was called, in open court and declared exparte. There is no evidence in support of the claim of the workman. In the absence of any claim statement and evidence, it cannot be said that there is any dispute pending between the parties requiring adjudication. Hence I pass the award holding that there is no dispute pending between the parties requiring adjudication.

3. This award will come into force 30 days after its publication in the official Gazette.

Dictated to the Confidential Assistant, transcribed by him, revised, corrected and passed by me on the 27th day of March, 1984.

HAJEE P.A. SHAHUL HAMEED
Presiding Officer,
Labour court,
Kozhikode.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Labour (A) Department

NOTIFICATION

G.O. (Rt.) No. 790/84/LBR. *Dated, Trivandrum, 11th June 1984.*

The award of the Labour Court, Kozhikode in respect of the dispute between the Manager, U-Chem Marketting, C/o.134, G.I.D.C., Industrial Estate, Wadhwan City, 363030 (Gujarat) and their workmen represented by the Secretary, Kerala Medical and Sales Representatives Association, Calicut Region, Hope Villa, Taluk Road, Calicut 673004 received by Government on 24-5-1984 is hereby published under section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947).

By order of the Governor,

A.S. MONI ACHARI,
Deputy Secretary to Government.

In the Labour Court, Kozhikode, Kerala State
(Dated this the 23rd day of April, 1984)

Present:

HAJEE P.A. SHAHUL HAMEED B.A., B. L.,
Presiding Officer

In

I.D. No. 49/83

Between

The Manager, U-Chem Marketting, C/o. 134, G.I.D.C., Industrial Estate, Wadhwan City, 363030 (Gujarat) .. *Management*

And

The Secretary, Kerala Medical and Sales Representatives Association, Calicut Region, Hope Villa, Taluk Road, Calicut-673004. .. *Union*

Representations:—

Sri M. Ashokan, Advocate, Calicut ... For *the Union.*

GA. 336/J.

AWARD

1. This Industrial Dispute was referred by the Government as per G.O.RT.No.916/83/LBR dated 2-8-1933 regarding the termination of services of Sri P. Ahamed, Medical Representative with effect from 8-12-1980. When the reference was received in this Court, notices were issued to both parties.

2. The union filed a statement stating the workman was appointed as a Medical Representative by the management with effect from 7-1-1980 onwards and his services were illegally terminated by their termination order dated 8-12-1980. The workman was working under the direct control and supervision of Sri V. A. Paul, Area Sales Organiser at Calicut. The workman was a member of the Kerala Medical and Sales Representative Association. Management did not like Sri Ahamed becoming a member of the Association and had several times asked him to resign from the Association. When the workman refused to do so the management had threatened to terminate the workman from service. Subsequently the management started issuing memos containing false allegations to the workman. The action of the man gement in terminating the services of the workman is illegal, irregular and against the mandatory provisions of law and fundamental principles of natural justice. It is prayed that this court may be pleased to direct the management to reinstate the workman with backwages and continuity of service.

3. Inspite of several chances have been given, the management has not filed any statement. Hence I pass an award holding that the workman is entitled to be reinstated in service with backwages and continuity of service.

4. This award will come into force 30 days after its publication in the Official Gazette.

Dictated to the Confidential Assistant, transcribed by him, revised corrected and passed by me on the 23rd day of April, 1984.

HAJEE P. A. SHAHUL HAMEED,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Kozhikode.

Kerala Gazette No. 31 dated 31st July 1984.
PART I

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Local Administration and Social Welfare Department

NOTIFICATION

G. O. (MS). No. 157/84/LA&SWD *Dated, Trivandrum, 22nd June* 1984.

The Government of Kerala hereby make the following additions to the rules for the payment of financial assistance to the handicapped persons for starting trade or business, purchase of milch cow and development of poultry farming issued in G. O. (MS) No. 362/72/LA&SWD dated 20-12-1972 and subsequently modified in G. O. (MS) No.636/78/LA&SWD dated 17-2-1978 and G. O. (MS) No. 237/79/LA&SWD dated 11-10-1979 namely;

AMENDMENT

In the appendix after rule 17 (b) the following rules shall be added;

18. Physically disabled applicants for financial assistance under the scheme, willing to invest the amount in the shares of the Industrial Co-operative Societies shall also be eligible for assistance under these rules and such persons shall be given priority in sanctioning financial assistance.

18 (a) A certificate from the President/Secretary of the Industrial Co-operative Society about remittance of amount to the funds of the society shall be accepted as utilisation certificate for the amount sanctioned.

Note:—This assistance will not be available to Sympathisers.

By order of the Governor,

V. R. PADMANABHAN,

Joint Secretary to Government
For *Commissioner and*
Secretary to Government.

Kerala Gazette No. 31 dated 31st July 1984.
PART I

കേരള സർക്കാർ

റവന്യൂ (എഫ്) വകുപ്പ്

വിജ്ഞാപനം

ജി. ഒ. (എം. എസ്.) നമ്പർ 638/84/ആർ.ഡി.

തിരുവനന്തപുരം, 1984 ജൂൺ 27.

പൊതുജനങ്ങളുടെ അറിവിലേയ്ക്കായി താഴെ കൊടുത്തിരിക്കുന്ന വിജ്ഞാപനം പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തിക്കൊള്ളുന്നു

പാലക്കാട് റവന്യൂ ജില്ലയിൽ ആലത്തൂർ താലൂക്കിൽ വണ്ടാഴി-I വില്ലേജിൽ "കുളിക്കടവ്", "ഒലിങ്കടവ്" എന്നീ പ്രാദേശിക നാമങ്ങളിൽ ഇപ്പോൾ അറിയപ്പെടുന്ന പ്രദേശങ്ങൾ ഈ വിജ്ഞാപനം ഗസററിൽ പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തുന്ന തീയതി മുതൽ "മംഗളഗിരി" എന്ന പേരിൽ അറിയപ്പെടുന്നതാണ്.

ഗവർണ്ണറുടെ ഉത്തരവിൻ പ്രകാരം,

സി. എസ്. ശിവശങ്കരൻ,
ഗവൺമെൻറ് അഡീഷണൽ സെക്രട്ടറി

G. 1029/A.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Labour (F) Department

NOTIFICATION

G. O. (Rt.) No. 678/84/LBR. *Dated, Trivandram, 22nd May 198*

S.R.O.No. 832/84.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 8 of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (Central Act 34 of 1948), rea with section 91-A thereof, the Government of Kerala in consultation with th Employees' State Insurance Corporation hereby exempt The Toddy Sho No. 7, Vengara P. O., Payyangadi, Cannanore from the operation of th provisions of the said Act for a period of one year from the 30t May, 1978 subject to the following conditions, namely:—

1. The establishment shall maintain a register showing the name and designations of its employees;

2. Notwithstanding this exemption, the employees shall continue t receive such benefits under the said Act to which they might hav become entitled to on the basis of the contributions paid prior to the dat from which exemption granted by this notification operates;

3. The contribution for the exempted period, if already paid, sha not be refunded;

4. The establishment shall submit in respect of the perio during which it was subject to the operation of the said Act, (hereinafte referred to as the said period), such returns in such form and containin such particulars as were due from it in respect of the said period unde the Employees' State Insurance (General) Regulations, 1950;

5. Any Inspector appointed by the Corporation under subsection (a of section 45 of the said Act, or other Official of the Corporatio authorised in this behalf shall, for the purposes of—

(i) verifying the particulars contained in any return submitte under subsection (1) of section 44 of the said Act; or

(ii) ascertaining whether registers and records were maintaine as required by the Employees' State Insurance (General) Regulations, 195 for the said period; or

(iii) ascertaining whether the employees continue to be entitle to the benefits provided by the employer in cash and kind being benefit in considerations of which exemption is being granted under thi notification; or

(iv) ascertaining whether any of the provisions of the said Act had been complied with during the period when such provisions were in force in relation to the said establishment be empowered to—

(a) require the establishment to furnish to him such information as he may consider necessary ; or

(b) enter any factory, establishment, office or other premises occupied by the said establishment at any reasonable time and require any person found in charge thereof to produce to such Inspector or other official and allow him to examine such documents, books and other documents, relating to the employment of persons and payment of wages or to furnish to him such information as he may consider necessary ; or

(c) examine the officers of the establishment servants, of the said establishment or any person found in such factory establishment, office or other premises, or any person whom the said Inspector or other official has reasonable cause to believe to have been an employee ; or

(d) make copies of or take extracts from any register, account book or other documents maintained in such society office or other premises of the said establishment.

By order of the Governor,

U. Mahabala Rao,

Commissioner & Secretary to Government
(Labour and Taxes).

Explanatory Note

(This does not form part of the notification, but is intended to indicate its general purport).

The Workers of the Toddy Shop No. 7, Vengara P. O., Payangadi have requested Government to exempt the Toddy Shop from the provisions of ESI Act from 30-5-1976. The Government after obtaining the remarks of the Regional Director, ESI Corporation placed the case before the 35th Regional Board meeting of the ESI Corporation. The Board recommended exemption form 30-5-1976 to 31-3-1982. Government accepted the recommendation of the Regional Board and decided to grant exemption to establishment for the period of one year from 30-5-1978. This notification is intended to achieve the above purpose.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Local Administration and Social Welfare (G) Department

NOTIFICATION

G.O. (MS) No. 108/84/LA&SWD. *Dated, Trivandrum, 16th April* 1984.

S. R. O. No. 833/84.—Under subsection (3) of section 12 of the Town Planning Act, 1108 (IV o 1108), the Government of Kerala as per G.O. (MS) No. 108/84/LA&SWD. dated the 16th April, 1984 hereby notify that under subsection (3) of the said section, have sanctioned the Detailed Town Planning Scheme for Ernakulam South (Junction) Railway Station Area. The Government Order sanctioning the scheme is hereby published as required under subsection (5) of said section. The scheme will be open to inspection of the public at the office of the Greater Cochin Development Authority, during office hours for a period of one month from the date of publication of this notification in the Gazette.

By order of the Governor,

M. S. K. Ramaswami,

Commissioner and Secretary to Government.

Explanatory Note

(This does not form part of the notification but is intended to indicate its general purport.)

The Chief Town Planner has forwarded a draft Detailed Town Planning Scheme for Ernakulam South (Junction) Railway Station area prepared by the Greater Cochin Development Authority for Government approval and publication as required under subsection (5) of section 12 of the Town Planning Act 1108. Government have examined the scheme in detail and hereby approve the scheme for publication. This notification is intended to achieve the above purpose.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Abstract

DETAILED TOWN PLANNING SCHEME FOR ERNAKULAM SOUTH (JUNCTION) RAILWAY STATION AREA—SANCTIONED—ORDERS ISSUED

LOCAL ADMINISTRATION AND SOCIAL WELFARE (G) DEPARTMENT

G. O. (MS) **No. 108/84/LA & SWD.** **Dated, Trivandrum, 16th April, 1984.**

Read:—1. Letter No. P7-15976/76/GCDA dated 10-2-1981 from the Secretary, Greater Cochin Development Authority, Cochin.

2. Letter No. Cl-1062/81 dated 24-8-1982 from the Chief Town Planner, Trivandrum.

ORDER

In his letter read as first paper above the Secretary, Greater Cochin Development Authority has forwarded throuth the Chief Town Planner a Detailed Town Planning Scheme for Ernakulam South (Junction) Railway Station area as laid down under subsection (2) of section 12 of the Town Planning Act, 1108 (IV of 1108) and rule 44 of the Town Planning Rules, 1113 issued under the said Act for sanction of Government. The Chief Town Planner has recommended the scheme for sanction.

Government have examined the scheme in detail and hereby sanction the Detailed Town Planning Scheme for Ernakulam South (Junction) Railway Station area under subsection (3) of section 12 of the Town Planning Act, 1108 (Act IV of 1108). The details of land sanctioned for acquisition are appended to this order.

In order to have successful implementation of the scheme, the Public Works Department, the Public Health Engineering Department, and the Kerala State Electricity Board should associate themselves with the Authority in implementing the scheme. These Departments will make necessary budget provisions as per Schedule II of the scheme in consultation with Greater Cochin Development Authority.

It is also ordered that wherever private Development is feasible it will be allowed subject to such time limit and such other restrictions and conditions that may be specified by the Greater Cochin Development Authority.

A copy of the scheme book as approved by Government and a copy of the scheme Map duly signed are forwarded to the Secretary, Greater Cochin Development Authority, Cochin.

By order of the Governor,
M. S. K. RAMASWAMI,
Commissioner and Secretary to Government.

SCHEDULE V

FORM No. 9

Detailed Town Planning Scheme for Ernakulam South Railway Station Area
Land Proposed to be Acquired

[Under Rule 37 (iii) of Town Planning Rules]

Purpose for which to be acquired	Name of village	Sy. Nos. affected		Description of land	Name of owner	Boundaries of land				Extent to be taken		Remarks
		Sy. No.	Sub. Dvn.			East	South	West	North	Hectares	Acre	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Neigh-bour-hood Centre	Erna-kulam	538	1	Dry	ഗവ. എൻ. എസ്. പുറമ്പോക്ക്	538/1	1497	1495, 1496	539		016	Part
		1495		,,	ദേവസ്വം	538	1494	536	1496		326	Full
Commercial use	,,	1450	13	,,	പുറമ്പോക്ക്	1450/5	1450/5	1450/13	1450/13		009	Part

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Commercial use	Ernakulam		6	Dry	കണ്ണേഴത്ത് പുത്തൻ മാത്തിൽ കാവൂട്ടി	1450/5	1450/5	1450/5	1450/5		007	Full
			5	,,	,,	1450/5	1450/8	1450/5, 4	1450/5		200	Part
ROAD AA	,,	725	1	,,	കുട്ടി അമ്മാളു ലക്ഷ്മിക്കുട്ടി അമ്മ	1448	725/1	725/1	1445		002	,,
	,,	1448	—	,,	റോഡ്	1449	1448	725/1	1445		002	,,
	,,	1449	—	,,	കണ്ണേഴത്തു മഠത്തിൽ കവേൂട്ടി	1450/1	1449	1448	1445		002	,,
	,,	1447	—	,,	മേപ്പിള്ളി കോമി നാരായണൻ	1451	1450/1	1450/1	1445		007	Full
	,,	1450	1	,,	,,	1451	1450/1	1449	1447		007	Part
	,,	1451	—	,,	പുറമ്പോക്ക് റോഡ്	1450/3	1451	1450/1	1445		002	,,
	,,	1446	—	,,	,,	1446	1450/3, 13	1451	1445		005	,,
	,,	1450	3	,,	വെട്ടത്തു വടക്കേടത്തു യോഹന്നാൻ മകൾ	1450/13	1450/3	1451	1446		009	,,
	,,		13	,,	റോഡ്	1450/5	1450/13	1450/3	1446		004	,,
	,,		5	,,	കണ്ണേഴത്തു പുത്തൻ മഠത്തിൽ കാവൂട്ടി	1450/11	1450/5	1450/13	1446		017	,,
						1457 1458			1450/11			

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Local Administration and Social Welfare Department

WITHDRAWAL NOTIFICATION

G. O. (MS.) No. 138/84/LA & SWD. *Dated, Trivandrum*, 28*th May* 1984.

S. R. O. No. 834/84.—Under subsection (1) of section 52 of the Kerala Land Acquisition Act, 1961 (21 of 1962), the Government of Kerala hereby withdraw from the acquisition of land mentioned in the Schedule hereto annexed and in respect of which land acquisition proceedings were initiated by the Tahsildar, Taliparamba by the issue of the Notification No. A4-6214/76 dated 25-8-1976 under subsection (1) of section 3 thereof, published at page 2953 in Part III of the Kerala Gazette dated 12-10-1976 and declaration under section 6 of the said Act published at page 542 in Part III of the Kerala Gazette dated 28-2-1978.

SCHEDULE

District—Cannanore. *Taluk*—Taliparamba.

Amsom—Ramanthali. *Desom*—Kunnaru.

R.S. No.—184/2.
Description—Garden.
Extent—0.0065 Hectare.

Explanatory Note

(This does not form part of the notification but is intended to indicate the general purport.)

The Executive Engineer, Public Health Engineering Division, Cannanore had applied for the acquisition of 0.0152 hectares. (0.0087 in R. S. No. 183/3, 0.0065 hectares in R. S. No. 184/Pt.) in Ramanthali Amsom Kunnaru Desom in Taliparamba Taluk for the construction of a well and pumphouse for Rural Water Supply Scheme to Vengara Moolakkadave under the Kerala Land Acquisition Act, 1961 (Act 21 of 1962).

The notification under section 3 (1) of the Kerala Land Acquisition Act in this case was published at page 2953 of Part III of the Kerala Gazette dated 25-8-1976 and the declaration under section 6 of the Kerala Land Acquisition Act was also published at page 542 of Part III of the Kerala Gazette dated 28-2-1978. On verification of the correctness of the extent for preparing the D. V. S. it is found that the land occupied by the requisitioning Department is in R.S. No. 183/5 only and the extent as per requisition does not come within R.S. No. 184/Pt. according to the present alignment. The notification is intended to achieve the above object No compensation is payable u/s 52 (2) of the Kerala Land Acquisition Act.

G. 959.

എസ്. ആർ. ഒ. നമ്പർ 834/84.—1961-ലെ കേരള സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പ് ആക്റ്റ് (1962-ലെ 21) 52-ാം വകുപ്പ് (1)-ാം ഉപവകുപ്പുപ്രകാരം കേരള സർക്കാർ, ഇതിനോടു ചേർത്തിട്ടുള്ള പട്ടികയിൽ പറഞ്ഞിട്ടുള്ളതും, 12-10-1976-ലെ കേരള ഗസററിൽ III-ാം ഭാഗം 2953-ാം പേജിൽ പ്രസിദ്ധീകരിച്ച പ്രസ്തുത ആക്ട് 3-ാം വകുപ്പ് (1)-ാം ഉപവകുപ്പുപ്രകാരമുള്ള 25-8-1976-ലെ എ4-6214/76 എന്ന നമ്പർ വിജ്ഞാപനവും 28-2-1978-ലെ കേരള ഗസററ് III-ാം ഭാഗം 542-ാം പേജിൽ പ്രസിദ്ധീകരിച്ച പ്രസ്തുത ആക്ററ് 6-ാം വകുപ്പുപ്രകാരമുള്ള പ്രഖ്യാപനവും പുറപ്പെടുവിച്ചുകൊണ്ട് തളിപറമ്പ് തഹശീൽദാർ സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പ് നടപടികൾ ആരംഭിച്ചിട്ടുള്ളതായ സ്ഥലം വിലയ്ക്കെടുക്കുന്നതിൽ നിന്നും ഇതിനാൽ പിൻവാങ്ങുന്നു.

പട്ടിക

ജില്ല—കണ്ണൂർ. താലൂക്ക്—തളിപറമ്പ്

അംശം—രാമന്തളി ദേശം—കുന്നരു

ആർ. എസ്. നമ്പർ	വിവരണം	വിസ്തീർണ്ണം
184/2	തോട്ടം	0.0065 ഹെക്ടർ

വിശദീകരണക്കുറിപ്പ്

(ഇത് വിജ്ഞാപനത്തിന്റെ ഭാഗമല്ല, എന്നാൽ അതിന്റെ പൊതു ഉദ്ദേശം സൂചിപ്പിക്കുന്നതിന് ഉദ്ദേശിച്ചുകൊണ്ടുള്ളതാകുന്നു.)

തളിപറമ്പ് താലൂക്കിൽ രാമന്തളി അംശത്തിൽ കുന്നരു ദേശത്ത് വെങ്ങര മൂലക്കടവിലേയ്ക്ക് ആർ. ഡബ്ലിയു. എസ്. എസ്-നു വേണ്ടി ഒരു കിണറും പമ്പുഹൗസും നിർമ്മിക്കുന്നതിന് 0.0152 ഹെക്ടർ സ്ഥലം (ആർ. എസ്. നമ്പർ 183/3-ൽ 0.0087-ഉം ആർ. എസ്. നമ്പർ 184/ഭാഗത്തിൽ 0.0065 ഹെക്ടറും) 1961-ലെ കേരള സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പ് ആക്ററ് (1962-ലെ 21-ാം ആക്ററ്) പ്രകാരം വിലയ്ക്കെടുക്കുന്നതിന് കണ്ണൂർ പി. എച്ച്, ഈ. ഡിവിഷൻ എക്സിക്യൂട്ടീവ് എഞ്ചിനീയർ അപേക്ഷിച്ചിരുന്നു.

ഈ കാര്യത്തിൽ കേരള സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പ് ആക്ററ് 3 (1) വകുപ്പുപ്രകാരമുള്ള വിജ്ഞാപനം 25-8-1976-ലെ കേരള ഗസററ് III-ാം ഭാഗം 2953-ാം പേജിലും കേരള സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പ് ആക്ററ് 6-ാം വകുപ്പുപ്രകാരമുള്ള പ്രഖ്യാപനം 28-2-1978-ലെ കേരള ഗസററ് III-ാം ഭാഗം 542-ാം പേജിലും പ്രസിദ്ധീകരിച്ചിരുന്നു. ഡി. വി. എസ്. തയ്യാറാക്കുന്നതിനു വേണ്ടി ഭൂമിയുടെ കൃത്യമായ വിസ്തീർണ്ണം പരിശോധിച്ചതിൽ സ്ഥലം ആവശ്യപ്പെട്ട വകുപ്പിന്റെ കൈവശത്തിലുള്ള സ്ഥലം ആർ. എസ്. നമ്പർ 183/5-ൽ മാത്രം ഉൾപ്പെട്ടതാണെന്നും, നിലവിലുള്ള ഏകരേഖപ്രകാരം ആവശ്യപ്പെട്ടതായ ഭൂമി ആർ. എസ്. നമ്പർ 184/ഭാഗത്തിൽ പെടുന്നതല്ലായെന്നും കാണുകയുണ്ടായി. മേൽപ്പറഞ്ഞ ഉദ്ദേശം നിറവേററുന്നതിനുദ്ദേശിച്ചുകൊണ്ടുള്ളതാണ് ഈ വിജ്ഞാപനം. കേരള സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പ് ആക്ററ് 52 (2)-ാം വകുപ്പുപ്രകാരമുള്ള നഷ്ടപരിഹാരം കൊടുക്കേണ്ട ആവശ്യമില്ല.

By order of the Governor,

G. SOMANATHAN,

Additional Secretary to Government.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Local Administration and Social Welfare (C) Department

WITHDRAWAL NOTIFICATION

G.O. (Rt) No. 2066/84/LA&SWD. *Dated, Trivandrum, 20th June* 1984.

S.R.O. No. 835/34. Under subsection (1) of section 52 of the Kerala Land Acquisition Act, 1961 (21 of 1962), the Government of Kerala hereby withdraw from the acquisition of the lands mentioned in the Schedule hereto annexed in respect of which land acquisition proceedings were initiated by the Special Tahsildar, Land Acquisition, Kozhikode by the issue of the notification No. A. 1914/81 dated the 16th June, 1981 under subsection (1) of section 3 thereof, published in the Malayala Manorama daily dated the 28th June, 1981 and in the Desabhimani Daily dated the 24th June, 1981.

Schedule

Districtr—Kozhikode. *Taluk*—Kozhikode.

Village—Beypore. *Desom*—Naduvattom.

R.S. No.—44/1 Part.
Description—Garden.
Extent—0.0729 Hectare.

Explanatory Note

(This is not part of the notification but is intended to indicate the general purport.)

The Beypore Panchayat has decided to purchase the land by private negotiation and has requested to drop the Land Acquisition proceedings. The withdrawal notification is intended for the above purpose.

എസ്. ആർ. ഒ. നമ്പർ 835/84.—1961-ലെ കേരള സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പ് ആക്ട്, (1962-ലെ 21) 52-ാം വകുപ്പ്, (1)-ാം ഉപവകുപ്പുപ്രകാരം, കേരള സർക്കാർ, ഇതോടൊന്നിച്ച് ചേർത്തിട്ടുള്ള പട്ടികയിൽ പറഞ്ഞിട്ടുള്ളതും, 1981 ജൂൺ 28-ാം തീയതിയിലെ മലയാള മനോരമ ദിനപത്രത്തിലും 1981 ജൂൺ 24-ാം തീയതിയിലെ ദേശാഭിമാനി ദിനപത്രത്തിലും പ്രസിദ്ധീകരിച്ച, പ്രസ്തുത ആക്ട് 3-ാം വകുപ്പ് (1)-ാം ഉപവകുപ്പ് പ്രകാരമുള്ള 1981 ജൂൺ 16-ാം തീയതിയിലെ എ-1914/81 എന്ന നമ്പർ വിജ്ഞാപനം പുറപ്പെടുവിച്ചുകൊണ്ട് കോഴിക്കോട് സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പ് സ്പെഷ്യൽ തഹശീൽദാർ സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പ് നടപടികൾ ആരംഭിച്ചിട്ടുള്ളതുമായ സ്ഥലം വിലയ്ക്കെടുക്കുന്നതിൽ നിന്നും ഇതിനാൽ പിൻവാങ്ങുന്നു.

പട്ടിക

ജില്ല—കോഴിക്കോട് താലൂക്ക്—കോഴിക്കോട്.

വില്ലേജ്—ബേപ്പൂർ ദേശം—നടുവട്ടം

ആർ. എസ്. നമ്പർ—44/1 ഭാഗം
വിവരണം—തോട്ടം
വിസ്തീർണ്ണം—0.0729 ഹെക്ടർ

വിശദീകരണക്കുറിപ്പ്

(ഇത് വിജ്ഞാപനത്തിന്റെ ഭാഗമല്ല, എന്നാൽ പൊതു ഉദ്ദേശം സൂചിപ്പിക്കുന്നതിനുദ്ദേശിച്ചുകൊണ്ടുള്ളതാണ്.)

സ്വകാര്യ വിലപേശൽ വഴി, സ്ഥലം വാങ്ങുന്നതിന് ബേപ്പൂർ പഞ്ചായത്ത് തീരുമാനിക്കുകയും സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പു നടപടികൾ വേണ്ടെന്നു വയ്ക്കുന്നതിന് ആവശ്യപ്പെടുകയും ചെയ്തു. മേൽപറഞ്ഞ ആവശ്യത്തിനു വേണ്ടിയുള്ളതാണ് ഈ പിൻവാങ്ങൽ വിജ്ഞാപനം.

By order of the Governor,
V. R. PADMANABHAN,
Joint Secretary to Government.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Transport, Fisheries and Ports (Transport C) Department

NOTIFICATION

No. 29735/TG2/83/TF & P. *Dated, Trivandrum, 23rd June* 1984.

S. R. O. No. 836/84.—Whereas representation has been received by Government from the Stage Garriage Operator Shri. B. Unnikrishnan, Anchethu House, Quilon that the arrears vehicle tax for the quarter ended on the 30th September, 1983, in respect of the Stage Carriage bearing Registration Number KLQ. 7825 could not be remitted within the prescribed period due to financial strain and that permission may be granted to remit the arrears of vehicle tax in respect of this vehicle in monthly instalments;

And whereas, the Government are convinced that circumstances existed that the operator of the said stage carriage could not remit the arrears of vehicle tax in respect of the said stage carriage ordinarily kept for use in the State for the quarter ended on the 30th September, 1983, due to financial strain;

And whereas, the Government are convinced that non-operation of the said stage carriage due to non-payment of tax would cause great inconvenience to the travelling public;

And whereas, the Government consider it necessary in public interest to permit the stage carriage operator to remit one third of the arrears of vehicle tax for the quarter ended on the 30th September, 1983, in respect of the said stage carriage on or before the 31st October, 1983 and balance amount in three equal monthly instalments;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 22 of the Kerala Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1976 (19 of 1976), read with rule 5 of the Kerala Motor Vehicles Taxation Rules 1975, the Government of Kerala hereby order that one third of the arrears of vehicle tax for the quarter ended on the 30th September, 1983, in respect of the said stage carriage ordinarily kept for use in the State shall be paid on or before 31st October, 1983, and the balance amount in three equal monthly instalments commencing from 15th November, 1983 together with additional tax payable under section 12 of the Kerala Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1976 read with the notification (5) No. 35942/TC2/75-5/PW. dated the 29th September, 1975 published as S. R. O. No. 876/75 in the Kerala Gazette Extraordinary No 572 dated 29th September, 1975

By order of the Governor,
V. A AUGUSTINE,
Additional Secretary to Government

Explanatory Note

(This is not part of the notification, but is intended to indicate the mai[n] pur-pose of the issue of the notification.)

Government have received representation from the Stage [Car]riage Operator as shown in the notification requesting instalment [facil]ity for payment of vehicle tax for the quarter ended on the [30th] September, 1983 due to financial strain.

Government are convinced of the position and in public interest, grant [insta]lment facility for payment of tax as otherwise the vehicle might be [put] out of operation for non-payment of tax causing great inconvenience to [the] travelling public.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Transport, Fisheries and Ports (Transport C) Department

NOTIFICATION

No. 28005/TC1/83/TF & P. *Dated, Trivandrum, 25th June* 1984.

S.R.O. No. 837/84.—Whereas representation has been received by Government from the Stage Garriage Operator Smt. P. Santhakumari, Sreyas, Bilathikulam, Kozhikode-4 that the arrears of vehicle tax for the quarter ended on the 31st March 1982, 30th June 1982, 30th September, 1982, 31st December 1982, 31st March 1983 and 30th June 1983 in respect of the Stage Carriage bearing Registration Number KLZ 4436 could not be remitted within the prescribed period due to financial strain and that permission may be granted to remit the arrears of vehicle tax in respect of this vehicle in monthly instalments.

And whereas, the Government are convinced that circumstances existed that the operator of the said stage carriage could not remit the arrears of vehicle tax in respect of the said stage carriage ordinarily kept for use in the State for the quarter ended on the 31st March 1982, 30th June 1982, 30th September, 1982 31st December 1982, 31st March 1983 and 30th June, 1983 due to financial strain;

And whereas, the Government are convineed that non-operation of the said stage carriage due to non-payment of tax would caused great inconvenience to the travelling public ;

And whereas, the Government consider it necessary in public interest to permit the stage carriage operator to remit Rs. 5000 (Rupees Five Thousand only) on or before 30th August 1983 out of the arrears of vehicle tax for the quarter ended on the 31st March, 1982, 30th June 1982, 30th September, 1982, 31st December 1982, 31st March, 1983 and 30th June 1983 and the balance amount in ten equal monthly instalments;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 22 of the Kerala Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1976 (19 of 1976), read with rule 5 of the Kerala Motor Vehicles Taxation Rules, 1975, the Government of Kerala hereby order that out of the total arrears of vehicle tax for the quarter ended on the 31st March 1982, 30th June 1982 30th September 1982, 31st December 1982, 31st March 1983 and 30th June 1983 in respect of the said stage carriage ordinarily kept for use in the State Rs. 5000 shall be paid on or before 30th August 1983 and the balance amount in 10 equal monthly instalments comencing from 30th September 1983 together with additional tax payable under section 12 of the Kerala Motor Vehicles

Taxation Act, 1976 read with the Notification (5) No. 33942/TC2/75-5/PW dated the 29th September, 1975 published as S. R. O. No. 876/75 in the Kerala Gazette Extraordinary No. 572 dated the 29th September, 1975.

By order of the Governor,
V. A. AUGUSTINE,
Additional Secretary to Government.

Explanatory Note

(This is not part of the notification, but is intended to indicate the main purpose of the issue of the notification.)

Government have received representation from the Stage Carriage Operators as shown in the notification requesting instalment facility for payment of vehicle tax for the quarter ended on 31st March 1982, 30th June, 1982, 30th September, 1982, 31st December 1982, 31st March 1983 and 30th June 1983 due to financial strain;

Government are convinced of the position and in public interest, grant instalment facility for payment of tax as otherwise these vehicle might be put out of operation for non-payment of tax causing great inconvenience to the travelling public.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Transport, Fisheries and Ports (Transport-B) Department

NOTIFICATION

G. O. Rt.No. 434/84/TF&PD. *Dated, Trivandrum, 23rd June 1984.*

S.R.O.No. 838/84.—Whereas, the Secretary, Cotton Hill Girls' High School Co-operative Society Limited No. 3076, Trivandrum has purchased one Ashok Leyland chassis and constructed bus body on it to use it as omnibus for the conveyance of School children, the details of which are hereunder given;

And whereas, the overall width of the said vehicle exceeds the limit specified in clause (i) of sub-rule (1) of rule 3 of the Overall Dimensions of Transport Vehicles and Tyres Rules, 1982;

And whereas, the Government of Kerala are satisfied that the said vehicle with such excess measurement in overall width is suitable for carrying out a work of public purpose, namely conveyance of school children;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by the second proviso to sub-rule (1) of rule 3 of the Overall Dimensions of Transport Vehicles and Tyres Rules, 1982, the Government of Kerala hereby exempt the said vehicle from the provisions of clause (i) of sub-rule (1) of rule 3 of the said Rules.

DETAILS OF THE VEHICLE

Model—Ashok Leyland
Engine number—AL EG 21183
Chassis number—AL EG 162499
Overall width—248 centimetres

By order of the Governor,
V.A. AUGUSTINE,
Additional Secretary to Government.

Explanatory Note

(This is not part of the notification, but is intended to indicate its main purport.)

The Secretary, Cotton Hill Girls' High School Co-operative Society Limited, No. 3076, Trivandrum has requested Government to exempt the vehicle, mentioned in the above notification from the provisions of rule 3 (1) (i) of the overall Dimensions of Transport Vehicles and Tyres Rules 1982, since the overall width of the vehicle exceeds the prescribed limit Government have considered the request and recommendation of the Transport Commissioner and have decided to grant, the exemption sought for. Hence this notification.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Housing (A) Department

NOTIFICATION

G. O. (Rt) 63/84/Housing. *Dated, Trivandrum, 28th May* 1984

S.R.O. No. 839/84.—Under subsection (1) of section 52 of the Kerala Land Acquisition Act 1961 (21 of 1962), the Government of Kerala hereby withdraw from the acquisition of lands mentioned in the Schedule given below in respect of which Land Acquisition proceedings were initiated by the Revenue Divisional Officer, Quilon by the publication of notification under subsection (1) of section 3 of the Kerala Land Acquisition Act, 1961 (21 of 1962), in the Kerala Kaumudi Daily dated the 4th November, 1981, and the Janayugam Daily dated the 2nd November 1981.

SCHEDULE

District—Quilon

Taluk—Quilon. Village—Eravipuram

Sl. No.	*Survey No.*	*Description*	*Extent* Hectare Are
1.	485	Dry	1.2448
2.	486	Wet	0.1862
3.	571	Wet	0.2792
		Total	1.7102

Explanatory Note

(This is not part of the notification but is intended to indicate its general purport)

The Kerala State Housing Board in its meeting held on 20-5-1983 resolved to drop the proposal for acquisition of 1.7102 hectares of land which was proposed for the implementation of a Housing Accommodation Scheme at Polayathode. No damage has been caused to the interested parties as a result of the Land Acquisition Proceedings and no compensation under subsection (2) of section 52 of the Kerala Land Acquisition Act is payable in this case. This notification is for the purpose of withdrawing from the acquisition in question.

എസ്. ആർ. ഒ. നമ്പർ 839/84.—1961-ലെ കേരള സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പ് ആക്റ്റ് (1962-ലെ 21) 52-ാം വകുപ്പ് (1)-ാം ഉപവകുപ്പുപ്രകാരം, കേരള സർക്കാർ താഴെ കൊടുത്തിട്ടുള്ള പട്ടികയിൽ പറഞ്ഞിട്ടുള്ളതും, 1981 നവംബർ

1981 നവംബർ 2-ാം തീയതിയിലെ കേരള കൗമുദി ദിനപ്പത്രത്തിലും 1981 നവംബർ 4-ാം തീയതിയിലെ ജനയുഗം ദിനപ്പത്രത്തിലും പ്രസിദ്ധീകരിച്ച 1961-ലെ കേരള സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പ് ആക്റ്റ് (1962-ലെ 21) 3-ാം വകുപ്പ് (1)-ാം ഉപവകുപ്പു പ്രകാരമുള്ള വിജ്ഞാപനം പുറപ്പെടുവിച്ചുകൊണ്ട് കൊല്ലം റവന്യൂ ഡിവിഷണൽ ആഫീസർ സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പു നടപടികൾ ആരംഭിച്ചിട്ടുള്ളതുമായ സ്ഥലം വിലയ്ക്കെടുക്കുന്നതിൽ നിന്നും ഇതിനാൽ പിൻവാങ്ങുന്നു.

പട്ടിക

ജില്ല—കൊല്ലം

താലൂക്ക്—കൊല്ലം

വില്ലേജ്—ഇരവിപരും

ക്രമ നമ്പർ	സർവ്വേ നമ്പർ	വിവരണം	വിസ്തീർണ്ണം ഹെക്ടർ-ആർ
1	485	പുരയിടം	1.2448
2	486	നിലം	0.1862
3	571	,,	0.2792
		ആകെ	1.7102

വിശദീകരണക്കുറിപ്പ്

(ഇത് വിജ്ഞാപനത്തിന്റെ ഭാഗമല്ല. എന്നാൽ അതിന്റെ പൊതു ഉദ്ദേശം സൂചിപ്പിക്കുന്നതിനു ഉദ്ദേശിച്ചുകൊണ്ടുള്ളതാണ്.)

കേരള സംസ്ഥാന ഭവന നിർമ്മാണ ബോർഡ് അതിന്റെ 20-5-83-ൽ കൂടിയ യോഗത്തിൽ, പോളയത്തോട്ടിൽ ഒരു ഭവനനിർമ്മാണ പദ്ധതി നടപ്പിലാക്കുന്നതിനുവേണ്ടി 1.7102 ഹെക്ടർ സ്ഥലം വിലയ്ക്കെടുക്കുന്നതിനുള്ള നിർദ്ദേശം വേണ്ടെന്നു വയ്ക്കാൻ തീരുമാനിച്ചു. സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പ് നടപടികളുടെ ഫലമായി തൽപ്പരകക്ഷികൾക്കു യാതൊരു നഷ്ടവും ഉണ്ടായിട്ടില്ലാത്തതും അതിനാൽ ഈ സംഗതിയിൽ കേരള സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പ് ആക്റ്റ് 52-ാം വകുപ്പു (2)-ാം ഉപവകുപ്പു പ്രകാരം യാതൊരുനഷ്ടപരിഹാരവും നൽകേണ്ടതില്ലാത്തതും ആകുന്നു. മേൽപ്പറഞ്ഞ സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പിൽ നിന്നും പിൻവാങ്ങുന്നതിനുവേണ്ടിയുള്ളതാണ് ഈ വിജ്ഞാപനം.

By order of the Governor,

SARALAGOPALAN,

Secretary to Government.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Local Administration and Social Welfare (C) Department

WITHDRAWAL NOTIFICATION

G. O. (Rt) No. 2145/84/LA&SWD. *Dated, Trivandrum, 27th June* 1984.

S. R. O. No. 840/84.—Under subsection (1) of section 52 of the Kerala Land Acquisition Act, 1961 (21 of 1962), the Government of Kerala hereby withdraw from the acquisition of the land mentioned in the schedule hereto annexed in respect of which land acquisition proceedings were initiated by the Land Acquisition Special Tahsildar, Additional Land Acquisition Unit, Trivandrum by issue of notification No. A3-59/80 dated the 16th March, 1981 under sub-section (1) of section 3 thereof, published in the Kerala Kaumudi daily dated the 21st March, 1981 and in the Mathrubhumi daily dated the 4th July, 1981.

SCHEDULE

District—Trivandrum.

Taluk—Chirayinkil. *Village*—Nagaroor.

Sl. No.	*Sy. No.*	*Description*	*Extent in Are*
1	1875 A	Nilam	0.60
2	1875 B	„	16.39
		Total	16.99

Explanatory Note

(This does not form part of the notification but is intended to indicate its general purport).

The Nagaroor Panchayat proposed to acquire 16.99 Ares of land comprised in Sy. Nos. 1875 A and 1875 B of Nagaroor Village, for the construction of a Shopping Centre. The Special Tahsildar, Additional Land Acquisition Unit, Trivandrum has published notification under section 3(1) of the Kerala Land Acquisition Act for the acquisition of the above land. The land owners had filed a petition before Government against the acquisition and Government, after examining the matter in detail, issued orders in G.O.(Rt) 2610/83/LA&SWD dated 23-7-1983 directing the Panchayat to drop the acquisition proceedings. Hence the withdrawal notification.

എസ്. ആർ. ഒ. നമ്പർ 840/84.—1961-ലെ കേരള സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പ് ആക്ററ് (1962-ലെ 21) 52-ാം വകുപ്പ് (1)-ാം ഉപവകുപ്പുപ്രകാരം, കേരള സർക്കാർ ഇതോടൊന്നിച്ച് ചേർത്തിട്ടുള്ള പട്ടികയിൽ പറഞ്ഞിട്ടുള്ളതും 1981 മാർച്ച്

21-ാം തീയതിയിലെ കേരള കൗമുദി ദിനപ്പത്രത്തിലും, 1981 ജൂലൈ 4-ാം തീയതിയിലെ മാതൃഭൂമി ദിനപ്പത്രത്തിലും പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തിയ, പ്രസ്തുത ആക്ററ് 3-ാം വകുപ്പ് (1)-ാം ഉപവകുപ്പുപ്രകാരമുള്ള 1981 മാർച്ച് 16-ാം തീയതിയിലെ എ3-59/80 എന്ന നമ്പർ വിജ്ഞാപനം പുറപ്പെടുവിച്ചു കൊണ്ട്, തിരുവനന്തപുരം അഡീഷണൽ സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പ് യൂണിററിലെ സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പ് സ്പെഷ്യൽ തഹസീൽദാർ സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പ് നടപടികൾ ആരംഭിച്ചിട്ടുള്ളതുമായ സ്ഥലം വിലയ്ക്കെടുക്കുന്നതിൽ നിന്നും ഇതിനാൽ പിൻവാങ്ങുന്നു.

പട്ടിക

ജില്ല—തിരുവനന്തപുരം

താലൂക്ക്—ചിറയിൻകീഴ് വില്ലേജ്—നഗരൂർ

ക്രമ നമ്പർ	സർവ്വെ നമ്പർ	വിവരണം	വിസ്തീർണ്ണം
			ആർ
1	1875 എ	നിലം	0.60
2	1875 ബി	,,	16.39
		ആകെ	16.99

വിശദീകരണക്കുറിപ്പ്

(ഇത് വിജ്ഞാപനത്തിന്റെ ഭാഗമാകുന്നതല്ല, എന്നാൽ അതിന്റെ പൊതു ഉദ്ദേശം സൂചിപ്പിക്കുന്നതിനുദ്ദേശിച്ചു കൊണ്ടുള്ളതാണ്.)

ഒരു ഷോപ്പിംഗ് സെൻറർ നിർമ്മിക്കുന്നതിനുവേണ്ടി നഗരൂർ വില്ലേജിലെ 1875 എ, 1875 ബി എന്നീ സർവ്വേ നമ്പരുകളിൽ ഉൾപ്പെടെ 16.99 ആർ സ്ഥലം വിലയ്ക്കെടുക്കുന്നതിന് നഗരൂർ പഞ്ചായത്ത് നിർദ്ദേശം സമർപ്പിച്ചിരിന്നു. പ്രസ്തുത സ്ഥലം വിലയ്ക്കെടുക്കുന്നതിനായി തിരുവനന്തപുരം, അഡീഷണൽ സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പ് യൂണിററിലെ, സ്പെഷ്യൽ തഹസീൽദാർ കേരള സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പ് ആക്ററ് 3 (1)-ാം വകുപ്പ് പ്രകാരമുള്ള വിജ്ഞാപനം പുറപ്പെടുവിക്കുകയും ചെയ്തു. എന്നാൽ സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പിനെ തിരായി വസ്തു ഉടമകൾ സർക്കാർ മുൻപാകെ ഹർജി ബോധിപ്പിക്കുകയും സർക്കാർ, ഇക്കര്യം വിശദമായി പരിശോധിച്ചശേഷം, 23-7-1983-ലെ ജി. ഒ. (ആർ. ററി) 2610/83/എൽ. എ. ആൻറ്. എസ്. ഡബ്ല്യൂഡി പ്രകാരം സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പു നടപടികൾ ഉപേക്ഷിക്കാൻ പഞ്ചായത്ത് നിർദ്ദേശം നൽകികൊണ്ട് ഉത്തരവ് പുറപ്പെടുവിക്കുകയും ചെയ്തു. അതുകൊണ്ടാണ് ഈ പിൻവാങ്ങൽ വിജ്ഞാപനം പുറപ്പെടുവിക്കുന്നത്.

By order of the Governor,
V. R. PADMANABHAN,
Joint Secretary to Government.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Local Administration and Social Welfare Department

WITHDRAWAL NOTIFICATION

G. O. (Ms) No. 137/84/LA&SWD. *Dated, Trivandrum, 28th May* 1984.

S. R. O. No. 841/84.—Under subsection (1) of section 52 of the Kerala Land Acquisition Act, 1961 (21 of 1962), the Government of Kerala hereby withdraw from the acquisition of the land mentioned in the Schedule hereto annexed in respect of which land acquisition proceedings were initiated by the Special Tahsildar, (Land Acquisition Kozhikode, Calicut-1) by the issue of Notification No. D. 400/80 dated the 23rd April, 1980 under subsection (1) of section 3 thereof published at page 10 of supplement I in Part III of the Kerala Gazette dated the 8th July, 1980 and the declaration under section 6 of the said Act, published in the Desabhimani daily dated 5th January, 1981 and in the Mathrubhumi daily dated the 18th January, 1981:

SCHEDULE

District—Kozhikode. *Taluk*—Kozhikode.
Village—Nagaram. *Desam/Kara*—Nagaram.

Survey No.—T. S. 145/2.
Description—Garden.
Extent—0.0225 Hectare.

Explanatory Note

(This is not part of the notification, but is intended to indicate the general purport).

The acquisition proceedings in respect of the land mentioned in the notification have been withdrawn since the Executive Engineer, P. H. Drainage Division, Calicut-9, in his letter No. D4-5213/79 dated 27-7-1982 has stated that they have finally decided to exclude the house situated in T. S. No. 145/2 of Nagaram Village from the proposed acquisition for the pumphouse for the scheme.

എസ്. ആർ. ഒ. നമ്പർ 841/84.—1961-ലെ കേരള സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പ് ആക്റ്റ് (1962-ലെ 21) 52-ാം വകുപ്പ് (1)-ാം ഉപവകുപ്പുപ്രകാരം കേരള സർക്കാർ ഇതോടൊന്നിച്ച് ചേർത്തിട്ടുള്ള പട്ടികയിൽ പറഞ്ഞിട്ടുള്ളതും 1980 ജൂലൈ 8-ാം തീയതിയിലെ കേരള ഗസററ് III-ാം ഭാഗം 1-ാം സപ്ലിമെൻറിലെ 10-ാം പേജിൽ പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തിയ പ്രസ്തുത ആക്ററ് 3-ാം വകുപ്പ് (1)-ാം ഉപവകുപ്പ് പ്രകാരമുള്ള 1980 ഏപ്രിൽ

23-ാം തീയതിയിലെ ഡി. 400/80 എന്ന നമ്പർ വിജ്ഞാപനവും 1981 ജനുവരി 5-ാം തീയതിയിലെ ദേശാഭിമാനി ദിനപ്പത്രത്തിലും 1981 ജനുവരി 18-ാം തീയതിയിലെ മാതൃഭൂമി ദിനപ്പത്രത്തിലും പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തിയ പ്രസ്തുത ആക്റ്റ് 6-ാം വകുപ്പുപ്രകാരമുള്ള പ്രഖ്യാപനം പുറപ്പെടുവിച്ചു കൊണ്ട് കോഴിക്കോട് 1-ലെ സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പ് സ്പെഷ്യൽ തഹശീൽദാർ സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പ് നടപടികൾ ആരംഭിച്ചിട്ടുള്ളതുമായ സ്ഥലം വിലയ്ക്കെടു ക്കുന്നതിൽ നിന്നും ഇതിനാൽ പിൻവാങ്ങുന്നു.

പട്ടിക

ജില്ല—കോഴിക്കോട് | താലൂക്ക്—കോഴിക്കോട്

വില്ലേജ്—നഗരം | ദേശം/കര—നഗരം

സർവ്വെ നമ്പർ	വിവരണം	വിസ്തീർണ്ണം (ഹെക്ടറിൽ)
ററി. എസ്. 145/2	തോട്ടം	0.0225

വിശദീകരണക്കുറിപ്പ്

(ഇത് വിജ്ഞാപനത്തിന്റെ ഭാഗമല്ല, എന്നാൽ അതിന്റെ പൊതു ഉദ്ദേശം സൂചിപ്പിക്കുന്നതിന് ഉദ്ദേശിച്ചുകൊണ്ടുള്ളതാകുന്നു).

നഗരം വില്ലേജിലെ ടി. എസ്. നമ്പർ 145/2-ൽ സ്ഥിതി ചെയ്യുന്ന വീട്, പമ്പുഹൗസ് പദ്ധതിക്കുവേണ്ടി നിർദ്ദേശിച്ചിട്ടുള്ള സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പ് നടപടിയിൽ നിന്നും ഒഴിവാക്കുവാൻ അവസാനമായി തീരുമാനിച്ചിരി ക്കുന്നതായി കോഴിക്കോട് 9-ലെ പി. എച്ച്. ഡ്രെയിനേജ് ഡിവിഷൻ എക്സിക്യൂട്ടീവ് എഞ്ചിനീയർ അദ്ദേഹത്തിന്റെ 27-7-1982-ലെ ഡി4.5213/79 എന്ന നമ്പർ കത്തിൽ പറഞ്ഞിരിക്കുന്നതിനാൽ വിജ്ഞാപന ത്തിൽ പറഞ്ഞിരിക്കുന്ന ഭൂമിയെ സംബന്ധിച്ച് സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പ് നടപടികൾ പിൻവലിച്ചിരിക്കുന്നു.

By order of the Governor,

G. SOMANATHAN,

Additional Secretary to Government.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Home (C) Department

NOTIFICATION

G O. (RT) No. 1871/84/Home. *Dated, Trivandrum, 5th April* 1984.

S. R. O. No. 859/84.—In exercise of the powers conferred by subsection (8) of section 24 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (Central Act 2 of 1974), the Government of Kerala hereby appoint Smt. Mary Teresa Dias, District Government Pleader and Public Prosecutor Ernakulam as Special Public Prosecutor for the conduct of prosecution in CC No. 153/83 before Chief Judicial Magistrate, Ernakulam (Grime No. 153/82 of Gentral Police Station, Ernakulam).

By order of the Governor,

N. KALEESWARAN,

Commissioner and Secretary to Government.

Explanatory Note

(This is not part of the notification but is intended to indicate its general purport).

Government consider it necessary to appoint a Special Public Prosecutor for the conduct of prosecution in CC No. 153/83 before Chief Judicial Magistrate, Ernakulam 158/82 of Central Police Station, Ernakulam. This notification is intended to achieve the above object.

Kerala Gazette No. 31 dated 31st July 1984
PART I **Section iv**

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Home (C) Department

NOTIFICATION

G. O. MS. No. 88/84/Home. *Dated, Trivandrum, 5th July 1984.*

S. R. O. No. 860/84.—In exercise of the powers conferred by subsection (i) of section 20 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (Central Act 2 of 1974), the Government of Kerala hereby appoint the Deputy Collector (General), Kasargode District to be Executive Magistrate within the limits of the said District.

By order of the Governor,

N. KALEESWARAN,

Commissioner and

Secretary to Government.

Explanatory Note

(This is not part of the Notification but is intended to indicate its general purport.)

It is considered necessary to appoint the Deputy Collector (General), Kasargode also as Executive Magistrate consequent on the formation of Kasargode District. This Notification is intended to achieve the above object.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Agriculture (Co-operation C) Department

NOTIFICATION

No. 82272/C3/83/AD. *Dated, Trivandrum, 11th July* 1984.

S. R. O. No. 861/84.—Whereas under sub-rule (1) of rule 56 of the Kerala Co-operative Societies Rules, 1969, no Financing Bank or Credit Society, other than an Agricultural Credit Society with unlimited liability, a land Mortgage Bank and a socicty the object of which is to grant long term loans, exclusively on the mortgage of immovable properties, shall grant loans for periods exceeding five years ;

And whereas the Cannanore District Co-operative Central Bank Limited has decided to make an advance of Rs. 40 lakhs for a period of 10 years to the Cannanore District Co-operative Hospital Society to construct a building for housing the Polyclinic of the Hospital, on the terms and conditions agreed upon for the purpose ;

And whereas the Registrar of Co-operative Societies has requested Government to exempt the said bank from the provisions of sub-rule (1) of rule 56 of the Kerala Co-operative Societies Rules, 1969 ;

And whereas Government are satisfied that it is necessary in public interest to exempt the said bank from the provisions of sub-rule (1) of rule 56 of the Kerala Co-operative Societies Rules, 1969 for the purpose of enabling the said bank to make an advance of Rs. 40 lakhs to the Cannanore District Co-operative Hospital Society Limited;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by rule 181 of the Kerala Co-operative Societies Rules, 1969, the Government of Kerala hereby exempt the Cannanore District Co-operative Central Bank Limited from the provisions of Sub-rule (1) of rule 56 of the Kerala Co-operative Societies Rules, 1969 for the limited purpose or enabling the said bank to issue a loan of Rs. 40 lakhs to Cannanore District Co-operative Hospital Society Limited for constructing a building to accommodate the Polyclinic of the said society, on the terms and conditions agreed upon for the purpose.

By order of the Governor,
M. R. VASUDEVAN PILLAI,
Additional Secretary to Government.

G. 1087

[P.T.O.]

Explanatory Note

(This does not form part of the notification, but is intended to indicate its general purport).

The Registrar of Co-operative Societies has recommended that the Cannanore District Co-operative Bank may be exempted from the provisions of sub-rule (1) of rule 56 of the Kerala Co-operative Societies Rules, 1969 to enable it to sanction a long term loan of Rs. 40 lakhs to the Cannanore Co-operative Hospital Society repayable in 10 years on the terms and conditions mutually agreed upon. Since the exemption sought for is for a public purpose Government propose to give necessary exemption. This notification is intended to achieve the above object.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Agriculture (Co-operation—C) Department

NOTIFICATION

No. 98167/C3/83/AD. *Dated, Trivandrum, 11th July* 1984.

S. R. O. No. 862/84.—Whereas under clause (a) of sub-rule (2) of rule 44 of the Kerala Co-operative Societies Rules, 1969, a member of the committee shall cease to hold his office as such, if he becomes disqualified under sub-rule (1) of the said rule;

And whereas, under clause (1) of sub-rule (1) of rule 44 of the said rules, no member of a society shall be eligible for being elected or appointed as a member of the society under section 28 of the Kerala Co-operative Societies Act, 1969 (21 of 1969) if he is carrying on the same business as is being carried on by the society;

And whereas the Alathur Service Co-operative Bank Limited No. L-1222, was authorised by the District Collector, Palghat, to maintain uninterrupted ration distribution during September, 1983, due to public agitation against retail dealers;

And whereas Sri V. Gopalakrishnan, Jayalekshmi Rice Mills, Pudiyankam, and Sri. M. Rajakumar, Kavathakalam, Pudiyankam, directors of the said bank have become disqualified to continue in the Board of Directors of the said bank as per clause (i) of sub-rule (1) of rule 44 of the said Rules as they are also authorised retail distributors of rationed articles;

And whereas the Alathur Service Co-operative Bank Limited No. L. 1222 has requested for the continued services of the above said two directors who might not have become disqualified but for the temporary arrangement made by the District Collector;

And whereas the Registrar of Co-operative Societies has requested the Government to exempt the said bank from the provisions of the clause (i) of sub-rule (i) of rule 44 of the said Rules;

And whereas the Government consider it necessary in the public interest to exempt the said bank from the operation of clause (i) of sub-rule (1) and clause (a) of sub-rule (2) of rule 44 of the Kerala Co-operative Societies Rules, 1969, for the limited purpose of getting the continued services of the above named two directors, namely, Sri V. Gopalakrishnan and Sri. M. Rajakumaran;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by rule 181 of the Kerala Co-operative Societies Rules, 1969, the Government of Kerala hereby exempt the Alathur Service Co-operative Bank Limited, No. L.1222

from the provisions of clause (i) of sub-rule (1) and clause (a) of sub-rule (2) of rule 44 of the said Rules for the limited purpose of getting the continued Services of Sri V. Gopalakrishnan and M. Rajakumaran, as directors of the said bank.

By order of the Governor,
M. R. VASUDEVAN PILLAI,
Additional Secretary to Government.

Explanatory Note

(This does not form part of the notification but is intended to indicate its general purport.)

The ration distribution in Palghat, Alathur and Chittoor Taluks of Palghat District was disrupted during September due to Public agitation, alleging that the ration dealers have collected excess price, than that fixed by the Civil Supplies Corporation. The ration shop dealers were forced to close down these shops.

To maintain un-interrupted supply of rationed articles. The District Collector, Palghat, entrusted good working Societies with this work, as a temporary measure. Alathur Service Co-operative Bank was one of such selected Societies. Sri V. Gopalakrishnan, J a y a l e k s h m i Rice Mill, Pudiyankkam and Sri M. Rajakumaran, Kavathakalam, Puthiyankkam are members of the Board of Directors of the above Service Co-operative Bank, and also Ration Dealers of A.R.D. No. 4 and 139 respectively of Alathur Taluk. As per Rule 44 (1) (i) of the Kerala Co-operative Societies Rules, 1969, a member of the Board of Directors of a Society, will be disqualified to continue in the Board, if he is carrying on the same business as is being carried on by the Society. As per arrangements made by the District Collector, the Alathur Service Co-operative Bank became a ration wholesale dealer and these two directors were already ration dealers, and thus as per the above said rule, they become disqualified, for no fault of theirs. Hence the necessity for this exemption under rule 181 of the Kerala Co-operative Societies Rules, 1969.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Labour (E) Department

NOTIFICATION

G.O. Rt. No. 905/84/LBR. *Dated, Trivandrum, 9th July* 1984.

S.R.O. No. 874/84—Whereas the Government of Kerala are satisfied that public interest so requires that the opening time of Lotus Movie House, Tellicherry should be fixed as 12 noon, for the purpose of conducting noon shows;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of section 10 of the Kerala Shops and Commercial Establishments Act, 1960 (34 of 1960) the Government of Kerala hereby order that for a period of six months from the date of this notification, the said theatre shall not be opened earlier than 9 a. m. on Saturdays, Sundays and Public Holidays which fall on other days of the week and 12 noon on all other days or closed on any day later than 2 a. m.

By order of the Governor,
U. MAHABALA RAO,
Commissioner and Secretary to Government.

Explanatory Note

(This does not form part of the notification, but is intended to indicate its general purport).

The provisions of the Kerala Shops and Commercial Establishments Act, 1960 (34 of 1960) are applicable to the Cinema Theatres in this State. The Manager, Lotus Movie House, Tellicherry has requested Government to issue orders permitting them to conduct noon shows from 12 noon in the theatre. Government consider that the request may be allowed for a period of six months. This notification is intended to achieve the above object.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Labour (E) Department

NOTIFICATION

G. O. Rt. No. 919/84/LBR. *Dated, Trivandrum, 10th July* 1984.

S. R. O. No. **875/84.**—Whereas the Government of Kerala are satisfied that public interest so requires that the opening time of Seena Air-conditioned Movie House, Cochin should be fixed as 12 noon, for the purpose of conducting noon shows;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by subsection (2) of section 10 of the Kerala Shops and Commercial Establishments Act, 1960 (34 of 1960), the Government of Kerala hereby order that for a period of three months from the date of this notification, the said theatre shall not be opened earlier than 9 a. m. on Saturdays, Sundays and Public holidays which fall on other days of the week, and 12 noon on all other days or closed on any day later than 2 a. m.

By order of the Governor,

U. MAHABALA RAO,
Commissioner and Secretary to Government.

Explanatory Note

(This does not form part of the notification, but is intended to indicate its general purport.)

The provisions of the Kerala Shops and Commercial Establishments Act, 1960 (34 of 1960) are applicable to the Cinema Theatres in this State. The Manager, Seena Air-conditioned Movie House, Cochin, have requested Government to permit them to conduct noon shows from 12 noon in the theatre under their management. Government consider that the request may be allowed for a period of three months. This notification is to achieve the above object.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Labour (F) Department

NOTIFICATION

G.O. (Rt.) No. 669/84/LBR. *Dated, Trivandrum, 19th May* 1984.

S. R. O. No. 876/84.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 87 of the Employee's State Insurance Act, 1948 (Central Act 34 of 1948), read with section 91-A thereof, the Government of Kerala in consultation with the Employee's State Insurance Corporation hereby exempt Cochin Refineries Ltd., Ambalamugal, Cochin-682 302 from the operation of the provisions of the said Act for a period of one year from the 1st October, 1984 subject to the following conditions, namely:—

1. The establishment shall maintain a register showing the names and designations of its employees;

2. Notwithstanding this exemption, the employees shall continue to receive such benefits under the said Act to which they might have become entitled to on the basis of the contributions paid prior to the date from which exemption granted by this notification operates;

3. The contribution for the exempted period, if already paid shall not be refunded;

4. The establishment shall submit in respect of the period during which it was subject to the operation of the said Act, hereinafter referred to as the said period), such returns in such form and containing such particulars as were due from it in respect of the said period under the Employees' State Insurance (General) Regulations, 1950;

5. Any Inspector appointed by the Corporation under subsection (a) of section 45 of the said Act, or other official of the Corporation authorised in this behalf shall, for the purposes of—

(i) Verifying the particulars contained in any return submitted under subsection (1) of section 44 of the said Act; or

(ii) ascertaining whether registers and records were maintained as required by the Employees' State Insurance (General) Regulations, 1950 for the said period; or

(iii) ascertaining whether the employees continue to be entitled to the benefits provided by the employer in cash and kind being benefits in consideration of which exemption is being granted under this notification; or

(iv) Ascertaining whether any of the provisions of the said Act had been complied with during the period when such provisions were in force in relation to the said establishment be empowered to—

(a) require the establishment to furnish to him such information as he may consider necessary; or

(b) enter any factory, establishment office or other premises occupied by the said establishment at any reasonable time and require any person found in charge thereof to produce to such Inspector or other official and allow him to examine such documents, books and other documents, relating to the employment of persons and payment of wages or to furnish to him such information as he may consider necessary; or

(c) examine the officers of the establishment servants, of the said establishment or any person found in such factory establishment, office or other premises, or any person whom the said Inspector or other official has reasonable cause to blieve to have been an employee; or

(d) make copies of or take extracts from any register, account book or other documents maintained in such society office or other premises of the said establishment.

By order of the Governor,

U. MAHABALA RAO,

Commissioner and Secretary to Governmen
Labour and Taxes.

Explanatory Note

(This does not form part of the notification, but is intended to indicate its general purport).

On G.O. Rt. No. 1188/82/LBR dated 1-11-1982, M/s. Cochin Refineries, Ambalamugal, Cochin has been given exemption from the provisions of E.S.I. Act upto and inclusive of the 30th September, 1982 as recommend by E S.I. Corporation. The E.S.I. Corporation in their letter No. P.13/13/6-1/76/Ins.1 dated 27-1-1984 has recommended further exemption upto 30-9-1984 for the present. Government have accepted the recommendation of the E.S.I. Corporation. The notification is intended to achieve the above purpose.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA.

Local Administration and Social Welfare (G) Department

NOTIFICATION

G. O. (MS) No. 177/84/LA&SWD. *Dated, Trivandrum, 13th July 1984.*

S. R. O. No. 877/84,—Under rule 8 of the Kerala Development Authorities Rules, 1984, the Government of Kerala hereby notify that Shri K. M. Gherian, Deputy Secretary, Finance Department has been nominated under clause (c) of subsection (1) of section 53 B of the Town Planning Act, 1108 (IV of 1108), as a member of the Greater Cochin Development Authority, constituted under notification G. O. MS. No. 19/76/LA&SWD dated the 23rd January, 1976 published as S. R. O. No. 124/76 in the Kerala Gazette Extraordinary No. 36 dated the 23rd January, 1976.

By order of the Governor,

T. V. SWAMINATHAN,

Commissioner & Secretary to Government.

Explanatory Note

(This does not form part of the notification but is intended to indicate its general purport.)

Under Rule 8 of the Development Authority Rules, 1984 the appointment, election or nomination, as the case may be, of the Chairman and other members of the Development Authority, shall be notified in the Gazette.

This notification is intended to notify the nomination of Shri K. M. Gherian, Deputy Secretary, Finance Department as a member of the Greater Gochin Development Authority in the place of Shri M. Kochunarayanan, Additional Secretary, Finance Department who ceased to be a Director of the Authority.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Local Administration and Social Welfare (G) Department

WITHDRAWAL NOTIFICATION

G.O. (MS)No. 167/84/LA&SWD. *Dated, Trivandram, 2nd July* 1984.

S.R.O. No 878 84.—Under subsection (i) of section 52 of the Kerala Land Acquisition Act 1961 (Act 21 of 1962), the Government of Kerala hereby withdraw from the acquisition of the lands mentioned in the schedule hereto annexed in respect of which land acquisition proceedings were initiated by the Special Tahsildar, (Land Acquisition) Greater Cochin Development Authority, Cochin-20 of the issue of the notification No. BI-334/77 dated 19-4-1977 under subsection (1) of section 3 thereof published in page 1722 of Part IB of the Kerala Gazette dated 31-5-1977 and the declaration under section 6 of the Act published at page 2684 Part III of the Kerala Gazette dated 12-9-1978, as per Board's proceedings No. K. Dis. 26732/78/LRC3 dated 1-8-1978.

SCHEDULE

District—Ernakulam

Taluk—Kanayannur. *Village*—Elamkulam.

Sl.No.	*Sy. No.*	*Description*	*Extent in hectare*
1.	298/5	Wet	0.0279
2.	299/4	Dry	0.1973
		Total	0.2252

Explanatory Note

(This does not form part of the notification, but is intended to indicate its general purport.)

The Special Tahsildar (LA), Cochin Town Planning Trust, Ernakulam initiated land acquisition proceedings in 1976 for the acquisition of 0.2252 hectares of land shown in the above schedule for the implementation of detailed Town Planning Scheme for Elamkulam North Provision Railway siding approved by the Cochin Town Planning Trust, Ernakulam. After the publication of 3(1) notification and declaration, the Greater Cochin Development Authority, Cochin-20 decided to withdraw the Land Acquisition proceedings, the lands specified in the above schedule. So it was decided to withdraw from the acquisition in respect of the above land. This notification is intended to achieve the above purpose.

G. 1019

എസ്. ആർ. ഒ. നമ്പർ 878/84.—1961-ലെ കേരള സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പ് ആക്റ്റ് (1962-ലെ 21 ആക്റ്റ്) 52-ാം വകുപ്പ് (1)-ാം ഉപവകുപ്പു പ്രകാരം, കേരള സർക്കാർ ഇതോടൊന്നിച്ചു ചേർത്തിട്ടുള്ള പട്ടികയിൽ പറഞ്ഞിട്ടുള്ളതും, 31-5-1977-ലെ കേരള ഗസററ് 1 ബി. ഭാഗത്ത് 1722-ാം പേജിൽ പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തിയ പ്രസ്തുത ആക്റ്റ് 3-ാം വകുപ്പ് (1)-ാം ഉപവകുപ്പു പ്രകാരമുള്ള 19-4-1977-ലെ ബി1-334/77 എന്ന നമ്പർ വിജ്ഞാപനവും, 12-9-1978-ലെ കേരള ഗസററ് III-ാം ഭാഗത്ത് 2684-ാം പേജിൽ 1-8-1978-ലെ കെ. ഡിസ്. 26732/78/എൽ. ആർ. സി3 എന്ന നമ്പരിലുള്ള ബോർഡിന്റെ പ്രൊസീഡിംഗ്സ് പ്രകാരം പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തിയ പ്രസ്തുത ആക്റ്റ് 6-ാം വകുപ്പുപ്രകാരമുള്ള പ്രഖ്യാപനവും പുറപ്പെടുവിച്ചുകൊണ്ട്, കൊച്ചി 20-ലെ വിശാലകൊച്ചി വികസന അതോറിട്ടിയിലെ സ്പെഷ്യൽ തഹശീൽദാർ (സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പ്) സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പു നടപടികൾ ആരംഭിച്ചിട്ടുള്ളതുമായ സ്ഥലം വിലയ്ക്കെടുക്കുന്നതിൽ നിന്നും ഇതിനാൽ പിൻവാങ്ങുന്നു.

പട്ടിക

ജില്ല—എറണാകുളം.

താലൂക്ക്—കണയന്നൂർ

വില്ലേജ്—ഇളംകുളം

ക്രമ നമ്പർ	സർവ്വെനമ്പർ	വിവരണം	വിസ്തീർണ്ണം ഹെക്ടറിൽ
1	298/5	നിലം	0.0279
2	299/4	പുരയിടം	0.1973
		ആകെ	0.2252

വിശദീകരണക്കുറിപ്പ്

(ഇത് വിജ്ഞാപനത്തിന്റെ ഭാഗമാകുന്നതല്ല. എന്നാൽ അതിന്റെ പൊതു ഉദ്ദേശം സൂചിപ്പിക്കുന്നതിന് ഉദ്ദേശിച്ചുകൊണ്ടുള്ളതാണ്.)

എറണാകുളത്തെ കൊച്ചി ടൗൺ പ്ലാനിംഗ് ട്രസ്റ്റിന്റെ അംഗീകാരത്തോടുകൂടി എളംകുളം നോർത്ത് പ്രൊവിഷൻ റെയിൽവേയുടെ വശത്ത് വിശദമായ നഗരാസൂത്രണ പദ്ധതി നടപ്പിലാക്കുന്നതിനുവേണ്ടി മുകളിൽ പട്ടികയിൽ കാണിച്ചിട്ടുള്ള (0.2252 ഹെക്ടർ ഭൂമി വിലയ്ക്കെടുക്കുന്നതിനുവേണ്ടി എറണാകുളത്തെ കൊച്ചിൻ ടൗൺ പ്ലാനിംഗ് ട്രസ്റ്റിലെ സ്പെഷ്യൽ തഹശീൽദാർ (എൽ. എ) 1976-ൽ സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പു നടപടികൾ ആരംഭിച്ചിരുന്നു. 3 (1) വിജ്ഞാപനവും പ്രഖ്യാപനവും പ്രസിദ്ധീകരിച്ചു കഴിഞ്ഞശേഷം കൊച്ചി 20-ലെ ജി. സി. ഡി. എ. മുകളിൽ പട്ടികയിൽ പറഞ്ഞിട്ടുള്ള സ്ഥലം സംബന്ധിച്ചുള്ള സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പു നടപടികളിൽ നിന്ന പിൻവാങ്ങാൻ തീരുമാനിച്ചു. അതുകൊണ്ട് മേൽപ്പറഞ്ഞ സ്ഥലം സംബന്ധിച്ചുള്ള സ്ഥലമെടുപ്പുനടപടികളിൽ നിന്നും പിൻവാങ്ങൽ തീരുമാനിക്കുകയുണ്ടായി. മുകളിൽപ്പറഞ്ഞ ആവശ്യം നിറവേററുന്നതിനുദ്ദേശിച്ചുകൊണ്ടുള്ളതാണ് ഈ വിജ്ഞാപനം.

By order of the Governor,

S. SUBRAMONIAN,

Deputy Secretary to Government.

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Taxes (B) Department

NOTIFICATION

G. O. (MS) No. 114/84/TD. *Dated, Trivandrum, 10th July* 1984.

S.R.O. No. 879/84.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 of the Kerala General Sales Tax Act, 1963 (15 of 1963), the Government of Kerala having considered it necessary in the public interest so to do, hereby make an exemption in respect of the tax payable under the said Act on the sale of Money Order Forms by the Indian Posts and Telegraphs Department.

This Notification shall be deemed to have come into force with effect from the 1st day of April, 1976.

By order of the Governor,
U. Mahabala Rao,
Commissioner & Secretary to Government.

Explanatory Note

(This is not a part of the Notification but is intended to indicate the general purport.)

The Government consider that the sale of money order forms by the Indian Posts and Telegraphs Department should be exempted from the levy of Sales Tax from 1-4-1976 by a Notification under section 10 of the Kerala General Sales Tax Act, 1963. The Notification is intended to achieve the above object.

©
Government of Kerala
1984

Reg. No. KL/TV(N)/12



KERALA GAZETTE

EXTRAORDINARY

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

Vol. XXIX] Trivandrum, Tuesday, 31st July 1984 / 9th Sravana 1906 (Saka) [No. 692

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Labour (E) Department

NOTIFICATION

G. O. Rt. 1006/84/LBR. *Dated, Trivandrum, 27th July 1984.*

S. R. O. No. 888/84.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (b) of subsection (1) of section 3 of the Minimum Wages Act, 1948 (Central Act 11 of 1948) read with sub section (2) of section 5 thereof, the Government of Kerala having considered the advice of the Committee appointed under clause (a) of subsection (1) of section 5 of the said Act and also the advice of the Minimum Wages Advisory Board constituted under Section 7 of the said Act, and in supersession of Notification No. 1132/E1/73/LBR dated the 1st April, 1974 published in the Kerala Gazette Extraordinary No. 348 dated the 1st April, 1974 hereby revised and fix the minimum rates of wages payable to the classes of employees employed in the employment in Handloom Industry in the State of Kerala as specified in the Schedule hereto annexed and fix 1-8-1984 as the date on which the rates shall come into force.

33/3290/V.

SCHEDULE (പട്ടിക)

(എ) ദിവസ കൂലി :

വിഭാഗം—1 വിദഗ്ദ്ധ തൊഴിലാളികൾ

	അടിസ്ഥാന കൂലി പുതുക്കി നിശ്ചയിക്കുന്നത്	അദ്ധ്വാനഭാരം
	രൂ. സ.	
1. കാർഡ് കട്ടർ, ഡിസൈനർ	13 50	8 മണിക്കൂർ
2. കാർപെൻറർ	11, 25	,,
3. ജക്കാഡ് ഫിററർ	13 50	,,
4, ഡൈഹൗസ് ജോലിക്കാർ :		
(എ) സാദാനൂൽ (ഒരു കെട്ട് 2.25 നൂലിന്)	11 25	5 കെട്ട്
ബി) ക്രേപ്പ് നൂൽ (ടി 3.00)	15 00	5 കെട്ട്

അദ്ധ്വാനഭാരം വർദ്ധിക്കുമ്പോൾ (പല തരത്തിലുള്ള കളറുകൾ ഒരേ നൂലിൽ മൂന്നും നാലും തവണകളിലായി കയറേണ്ടി വരുമ്പോൾ) അതിനു ആനുപാതികമായി കൂലി നൽകണം.

വിഭാഗം—2 അവിദഗ്ദ്ധ തൊഴിലാളികൾ

	അടിസ്ഥാന കൂലി പുതുക്കി നിശ്ചയിക്കുന്നത്
മെഷ്യൻ തയ്യൽക്കാരൻ, കൈ തയ്യൽക്കാരൻ, തുണി മടക്കുന്നവർ, പാക്കേഴ്സ്, ബെയ്‌ലേഴ്സ്, തുണി തേയ്ക്കുന്നവർ, ജനറൽ മസ്ദൂർ, സാമ്പിൾ മസ്ദൂർ, മുഴുവൻ സമയം തൂപ്പുകാർ.	9 രൂപ

(ബി) ഉൽപ്പാദനാധിഷ്ഠിത ജോലി (പീസ് റേറ്റഡ് വർക്കിംഗ്)

1. നൂലും കൂലിയും സമ്പ്രദായം :

(എ) മൊത്തം കൂലിയുടെ മൂന്നിൽ ഒരു ഭാഗം അനുബന്ധ ജോലികൾക്ക് വകയിരുത്തുന്നു. നെയ്ത്തിന് കിട്ടുന്നതിന്റെ പകുതി മററു ജോലികൾക്കായി നിശ്ചയിക്കുന്നു. ഈ വ്യവസ്ഥയിലെ ഒരു പ്രധാന ഇനമാണ് "തോർത്ത്". ഇതിനു കൂലി മുമ്പ് നിശ്ചയിച്ചിരുന്നില്ല. ഇപ്പോൾ താഴെ പറയും പ്രകാരം കുറഞ്ഞ കൂലി നിശ്ചയിക്കുന്നു.

നൂൽ നമ്പർ	നിശ്ചയിക്കുന്ന അടിസ്ഥാന കൂലി (ഒരു കഴിക്ക്)		അദ്ധ്വാനഭാരം
	രൂ.	സ.	
26s—30s			
ഈരിഴ തോർത്ത്	2	25	6 കഴി
ഒററയിഴ തോർത്ത്	2	70	5 കഴി

(ബി) മററിനങ്ങൾ	കുറഞ്ഞ കൂലി പുതുക്കി നിശ്ചയിക്കുന്നത് (ഒരു കഴിക്ക്)		അദ്ധ്വാനഭാരം
	രൂ.	സ.	
26s—30s	2	46	6 കഴി
40s	2	55	,,
60s	2	62	,,
80s	2	70	,,
100s	2	77	,,
120s	2	20	അഞ്ചര കഴി

2. സാരി നെയ്യുന്നതിനുള്ള കൂലി നിരക്ക് :

(എ) 20s മുതൽ 120s വരെ ഓരോ ഇനത്തിലുള്ള ഒരു കഴി നൂല് നെയ്യുന്നതിന് നിജപ്പെടുത്തിയിട്ടുള്ള കൂലി "കാസർകോട് സാരി" നെയ്യുന്നവർക്കും മററ് പ്ലെയിൻ/കോട്ടൺ സാരി നെയ്യുന്നവർക്കും ബാധകമായിരിക്കും.

(ബി) കാസർകോട് ഏരിയായിൽ നിർമ്മിച്ചു വരുന്ന 60 പ്ലെയിൻസിൽക്ക് സാരിക്ക് കുറഞ്ഞ കൂലി ഒരു മീററിന് 2 രൂപ 25 പൈസയായി പുതുക്കി നിജപ്പെടുത്തുന്നു.

(സി) ബോർഡർ സാരി നെയ്യുന്നതിനുള്ള കുറഞ്ഞ കൂലി പുതുക്കി നിശ്ചയിക്കുന്നത്:

	രൂ.	സ.	അദ്ധ്വാനഭാരം.
1. പ്രായപൂർത്തിയായവർ (60s നൂലിൽ 5 മീററർ നെയ്യുന്നതിന്)	15	75	5 മീററർ
കുട്ടികൾ (സഹായികൾ)	4	50	
2. പ്രായപൂർത്തിയായവർ (80s നൂലിൽ 5 മീററർ നെയ്യുന്നതിന്)	16	87	5 മീററർ
കുട്ടികൾ (സഹായികൾ)	5	25	

അനുബന്ധ ജോലികൾ (പ്രിപ്പറേററി പ്രോസസ്):

നെയ്ത്തിനു മുമ്പുള്ള ബന്ധപ്പെട്ട പ്രാരംഭ ജോലികൾക്കുള്ള പുതുക്കിയ കുറഞ്ഞ കൂലി നിരക്കുകൾ താഴെ പറയുന്ന പ്രകാരം ആയിരിക്കണമെന്ന് ശുപാർശ ചെയ്യുന്നു. ഈ നിരക്കുകൾ നൂലും കൂലിയും വ്യവസ്ഥയിലും, വേലയും കൂലിയും വ്യവസ്ഥയിലും ബാധകമായിരിക്കത്തക്ക നിലയിലാണ് നിർദ്ദേശിക്കുന്നത്.

1. താര് (നല്ലി) ചുററു കൂലി:

എ. വലിയ താര് (നല്ലി) (ഒരു കഴിക്ക്)

നൂലിന്റെ നമ്പർ	കുറഞ്ഞ കൂലി പുതുക്കി നിശ്ചയിക്കുന്നത്.	അദ്ധ്വാനഭാരം.
	പൈസ.	
20s 26s 30s	30	10 കഴി
40s	36	9 ,,
60s	41	9 ,,
80s—100s	44	8 ,,
120s	50	7 ,,
20s എച്ച്. ററി.	35	9 ,,
2s, 4s, 6s	30	10 ,,
2/2s	30	10 ,,
2/17s	35	10 ,,
2/20s, 2/30s, 2/40s, 2/60s	30	9 ,,
2/80s	33	10 ,,
		9 ,,

ബി. ചെറിയ താര് (നല്ലി)

നൂലിന്റെ നമ്പർ	കുറഞ്ഞ കൂലി പുതുക്കി നിശ്ചയിക്കുന്നത്	അദ്ധ്വാനഭാരം
	പൈസ	
20s, 26s, 30s	42	8 കഴി
40s	46	7 ,,
60s	56	7 ,,
80s—100s	62	6 ,,
120s	68	6 ,,
20s എച്ച്. ററി.	46	7 ,,
2s, 4s, 6s	42	8 ,,
2/17s	47	7 ,,
2/20s, 2/30s, 2/40s, 2/60s	42	8 ,,
2/80s	46	8 ,,

2. പാവോട്ടത്തിനുള്ള കൂലി നിരക്ക്

ജോലിയുടെ പേര്	പുതുക്കി നിശ്ചയിക്കുന്ന കുറഞ്ഞ കൂലി (100 വാരയ്ക്ക്)		അദ്ധ്വാനഭാരം
	രൂ.	പ.	
അച്ചുനമ്പർ 64 വരെ			
1. 32" (81.28 സെ. മീ.) 90 മീററർ (100 വാര)	3	17	300 വാര
2. 33" മുതൽ 40" വരെ (83.82 സെ. മീ. മുതൽ 101.60 സെ. മീ. വരെ) 90 മീററർ (100 വാര)	3	88	2[illegible]0 ,,
3. 42" മുതൽ 52" വരെ (106.68 സെ. മീ. മുതൽ 132.08 സെ. മീ. വരെ) 92 മീററർ (100 വാര)	4	74	225 ,,

കുറിപ്പ്:—1. 25 വാര, 50 വാര, 75 വാര എന്നീ കണക്കിൽ പാവ് കഴിക്കേണ്ടിവരുമ്പോൾ അദ്ധ്വാനഭാരത്തിന് ആനുപാതികമായി കൂലി നൽകേണ്ടതാണ്.

2. അച്ച് നമ്പർ 64 മുതൽ 80 വരെയും അതിനു മുകളിലും വരുന്നതിന് അച്ച് നമ്പരിന്റെ മാററത്തിനനുസരിച്ച് കൂലി നൽകേണ്ടതാണ്.

3. ഡിസൈൻ ജോലി പാവിൽ ചെയ്യേണ്ടതായിട്ടുണ്ടെങ്കിൽ അദ്ധ്വാനഭാരം കണക്കിലെടുത്ത് കൂലി നൽകേണ്ടതാണ്.

3. പാവ് ഞരട്:

അച്ചു നമ്പർ	വീതി	പുതുക്കി നിശ്ചയിക്കുന്ന കുറഞ്ഞ കൂലി (100 ഇഴക്ക്)	അദ്ധ്വാനഭാരം
36 മുതൽ 64 വരെ	32" മുതൽ 48" വരെ (81.28 സെ. മീ. മുതൽ 121.92 സെ. മീ. വരെ)	9 പൈസ	6000 ഇഴ

4. അച്ചിലും റക്കയിലും തോണൽ:

32" (81.28 സെ. മീ.) (അച്ചിൽ മാത്രം കോർക്കുന്നതിന്)	100 നൂലിന്	30 പൈസ	3000 ഇഴ
	100 ഇഴക്ക്	9 ,,	

(2) വേലയും കൂലിയും സമ്പ്രദായം

1. സാധാരണ ഇനം തുണിത്തരങ്ങൾ നെയ്യുന്നതിന്റെ കൂലി നിരക്ക്

	അച്ചുനമ്പർ ഡിസൈൻ	വീതി	പാവ് നൂൽ	ഊട നൂൽ	റക്ക	കാൽ	ഓടം	കുറഞ്ഞ കൂലി പുതുക്കി നിശ്ചയിക്കുന്നത് (ഒരു മീററിന്)	അദ്ധ്വാനഭാരം
1.	36 മുതൽ 56 വരെ ഷർട്ടിംഗ് സ്പോർട്ട്സ്	29" മുതൽ 32" വ ര (73.66 സെ. മീ. 81.28 സെ. മീ.)	20s	26s	4	2–4	1	രൂ. 1.00	9 മീററർ

2.	58 മുതൽ ഷർട്ടിംഗ് 64 വരെ ലുങ്കി	ടി	ടി	ടി	ടി	ടി	ടി	1.13	8	,,
3.	36 മുതൽ ഷർട്ടിംഗ് 56 വരെ ലുങ്കി	33"–36" (83.82 സെ.മീ. 91.44 സെ.മീ.)	ടി	ടി	ടി	ടി	ടി	1.08	9	,,
4.	ടി ടി	40"–48" (101.66 സെ. മീ. 121.92 സെ.മീ.)	ടി	ടി	ടി	ടി	ടി	1.23	8	,,
5.	58 മുതൽ 64 വരെ ടി	ടി	26^s	26^s	ടി	ടി	ടി	1.32	7½	,,
6.	72 ഷർട്ടിംഗ്	29"-32" (73.66 സെ.മീ. 81.28 സെ. മീ.)	40^s	60^s	ടി	ടി	ടി	1.35	7	,,
7.	72 ടി	33"-36" (83.82 സെ. മീ. 91.44 സെ. മീ.)	ടി	ടി	ടി	ടി	ടി	1.40	7	,,
8.	ടി ലുങ്കി	40"-48" (101.66 സെ. മീ. 121.92 സെ. മീ.)	40^s	40^s	ടി	ടി	ടി	1.60	6½	,,
9.	ടി ടി	ടി	60^s	60^s	ടി	ടി	ടി	1.75	6	,,
10.	80-100 ഷർട്ടിംഗ്	29"-32"	80^s	100^s	ടി	ടി	ടി	1.75	6½	,,
11.	100 ലുങ്കി, സാരി	40"-50"	80^s	80^s	ടി	ടി	ടി	2.52	4½	,,
12.	ടി ദോത്തി	ടി	80^s	100^s	ടി	ടി	ടി	2.64	4	,,
13.	ടി ടി	ടി	100^s	100^s	ടി	ടി	ടി	2.75	4	,,
14.	ടി ടി	ടി	120^s	120^s	ടി	ടി	ടി	3.40	4	,,

കുറിപ്പ്:—മേൽ വിവരിച്ച ഇനം തുണികൾ നെയ്യുന്നതിന് താഴെ പറയുന്ന വ്യവസ്ഥകൾ ബാധകമാണ്.

(1) ഓടം:—48" (121.92 സെ.മീ) വീതിവരെ കൂടുതലായി വരുന്ന ഓരോ ഓടത്തിനും ഒരു മീററിന് 2 പൈസയും 48" (121.92 സെ.മീ)-ൽ കൂടുതൽ വീതിയുള്ളതിന് കൂടുതലായി വരുന്ന ഓരോ ഓടത്തിനും ഒരു മീററിന് 3 പൈസയും കൂടുതലായി കൊടുക്കണം.

(2) റക്ക, കാൽ:—കൂടുതലായി വരുന്ന ഒരു റക്കയ്ക്കും, ഒരു കാലിനും അതല്ല ഒരു റക്ക മാത്രമായോ ഒരു കാൽ മാത്രമായോ കൂടുതലായി വരുന്നെങ്കിലും അപ്രകാരമുള്ള ഓരോ മീററിനും 2 പൈസ കൂടുതലായും കൊടുക്കണം.

(3) വീതി 49"–ൽ നിന്നും 60" വരെ 124.46 സെ. മീ മുതൽ 152.42 സെ. മീ. വരെ വർദ്ധിക്കുന്നുവെങ്കിൽ 60" (152.40 സെ.മീ) വരെ വർദ്ധനവ് ഓരോ ഇഞ്ചിനും (2.54 സെ.മീ.) 1.5 പൈസ ക്രമത്തിലും 60" (152.40 സെ.മീ)-ൽ കൂടുതലായി വരുന്നെങ്കിൽ കൂടുതലായി വരുന്ന ഓരോ ഇഞ്ചിനും 3 പൈസ ക്രമത്തിലും കൂടുതലായി കൊടുക്കണം.

അലങ്കാരത്തുണികൾ (ഫർണിഷിംഗ് ഫേബ്രിക്സ്) ക്കുള്ള കൂലി നിരക്ക്

വീതി	പാവ്	ഊട	അച്ച് നമ്പർ	റക്ക	കാല്	ഓടം	കുറഞ്ഞ കൂലി പുതുക്കി നിശ്ചയിച്ചത് (മീററിന്)	അദ്ധ്വാന ഭാരം
							രൂ.	
36" (91.44 സെ.മീ.)	2/20s	2/20s	36-42	4	2	1	1.05	9 മീററർ
37"–48" (93.98 സെ.മീ. 121.92 സെ.മീ)	ടി	ടി	ടി	ടി	ടി	ടി	1.36	8 ,,
49"–60" (124.46 സെ.മീ. 152.40 സെ.മീ)	ടി	ടി	ടി	ടി	ടി	ടി	1.67	6 ,,
61"–72" (154.94 സെ.മീ. 182.88 സെ.മീ.)	ടി	ടി	ടി	ടി	ടി	ടി	1.97	5 ,,
72"–90" (182.88 സെ.മീ. 228.60 സെ.മീ)	ടി	ടി	ടി	ടി	ടി	ടി	2.36	5 ,,

കുറിപ്പ് :— (1) മേൽ വിവരിച്ച ഇനം തുണികൾക്ക് നെയ്യുന്നതിന് താഴെ പറയുന്ന വ്യവസ്ഥകൾ ബാധകമാണ്.

(2) ഓടം :—48" (121.92 സെ.മീ) കൂടുതലായി വരുന്ന ഓരോ ഓടത്തിനും ഒരു മീററിന് 2 പൈസയും 48" (121.92 സെ.മീ.)-ൽ കൂടുതൽ വീതിയുള്ളതിന് കൂടുതലായി വരുന്ന ഓരോ ഓടത്തിനും ഒരു മീററിന് 3 പൈസയും കൂടുതലായി കൊടുക്കണം.

(3) റക്ക, കാൽ:—കൂടുതലായി വരുന്ന ഒരു റക്കയ്ക്കും ഒരു കാലിനും അതല്ല, ഒരു റക്ക മാത്രമായോ ഒരു കാൽ മാത്രമായോ കൂടുതലായി വരുന്നെങ്കിലും അപ്രകാരമുള്ള ഓരോ മീററിനും 2 പൈസ കൂടുതലായി കൊടുക്കണം.

(4) പ്ലെയിൻ അലങ്കാരത്തുണികൾ:—എല്ലാ തരത്തിലുമുള്ള പ്ലെയിൻഅലങ്കാരത്തുണികൾക്കും മേൽ വിവരിച്ചവിധം നിശ്ചയിച്ചിട്ടുള്ള മിനിമം കൂലിക്ക് ആനുപാതികമായി കൂലി കൂടുതൽ കൊടുക്കണം.

(3) മെഴ്സിറൈസ്ഡ് നൂലും ആർട്ട് സിൽക്കും കൂലി നിരക്ക്

	അച്ചു നമ്പർ	പാവ്	ഊട	വീതി	റക്ക	കാൽ	ഓടം	കുറഞ്ഞകൂലി പുതുക്കി നിശ്ചയിച്ചത് (മീററിന്)	അദ്ധ്വാന ഭാരം
1.	72	2/64	2/64	36″ (91.44 സെ.മീ.)	4	2	1	രൂ. 1.43	7 മീററർ
2.	84	ടി	ടി	ടി	ടി	ടി	ടി	1.50	6½ ,,
3.	84	2/80	2/80	ടി	ടി	ടി	ടി	1.65	6 ,,
4.	72	2/64	2/64	45″ (114.80 സെ.മീ.)	ടി	ടി	ടി	1.74	6 ,,
5.	84	ടി	ടി	ടി	ടി	ടി	ടി	1.80	6 ,,
6.	84	2/80	2/80	ടി	ടി	ടി	ടി	2.10	5½ ,,

കുറിപ്പ് :— (1) ഓടം : 48″ (121.92 സെ. മീ.) വീതിവരെ കൂടുതലായി വരുന്ന ഓരോ ഓടത്തിനും ഒരു മീററിനു 2 പൈസയും 48″ ൽ കൂടുതൽ വീതിയുള്ളതിനു കൂടുതലായി വരുന്ന ഓരോ ഓടത്തിനും ഒരു മീററിനു 3 പൈസയും കൂടുതലായി കൊടുക്കണം.

(2) റക്ക, കാൽ : കൂടുതലായി വരുന്ന ഒരു റക്കയ്ക്കും ഒരു കാലിനും അതല്ല ഒരു റക്ക മാത്രമായോ ഒരു കാൽമാത്രമായോ കൂടുതലായി വരുന്നെങ്കിലും അപ്രകാരമുള്ള ഓരോ മീററിനും 2 പൈസ കൂടുതലായി കൊടുക്കണം.

(3) വീതി 49″ ൽ നിന്നും 60″ വരെ (124.46 സെ. മീ. മുതൽ 152.40 സെ. മീ. വരെ) വർദ്ധിക്കുന്നെങ്കിൽ 60″ വരെ വർദ്ധനവുള്ള ഓരോ ഇഞ്ചിനും (2·54 സെ. മീ.) 1.5 പൈസ ക്രമത്തിലും 60″ ൽ കൂടുതൽ വീതി വരുന്നെങ്കിൽ കൂടുതലായി വരുന്ന ഓരോ ഇഞ്ചിനും 3 പൈസ ക്രമത്തിലും കൊടുക്കണം.

(4) എല്ലാ തരത്തിലുമുള്ള മെഴ്സറൈസ്ഡ് നൂലിനും ആർട്ട് സിൽക്കിനു മേൽ വിവരിച്ചവിധം നിശ്ചയിച്ചിട്ടുള്ള മിനിമം കൂലിക്ക് ആനുപാതികമായി കൂലി കൂടുതൽ കൊടുക്കണം.

(5) പാവിനും ഊടയ്ക്കും ആർട്ട് സിൽക്ക് ഉപയോഗിക്കുന്നുണ്ടെങ്കിൽ മേൽ നിശ്ചയിച്ച മിനിമം കൂലിയെ അടിസ്ഥാനപ്പെടുത്തിയുള്ള വ്യത്യാസം നില നിറുത്തേണ്ടതാണ്.

(4) പ്ലയിൻ ടർക്കിഷ് ടൗവ്വൽ ഓരോ കഷ്ണത്തിനും കൂലി നിരക്ക്

	അച്ചു നമ്പർ	കഷണം	നീളം (സെ. മീ.)	വീതി (സെ. മീ)	നൂൽ നമ്പർ	റക്ക	കാൽ	ഓടം	കുറഞ്ഞ കൂലി പുതുക്കി നിശ്ചയിച്ചത്	അടിസ്ഥാനം
									രൂ.	
1.	60	15″×24″	38.10	60.96	2/20s	4	4	1	37½	12ഡബിൾ (24 സിംഗിൾ)
2.	60	24″×36″	60.96	91.44	ടി	ടി	ടി	ടി	1.30	7 കഷണം
3.	60	24″×48″	60.96	121.92	ടി	ടി	ടി	ടി	1.80	5 ,,
4.	60	27″×54″	68.58	135.16	ടി	ടി	ടി	ടി	2.05	നാലര ,,
5.	60	30″×60″	76.20	152.40	ടി	ടി	ടി	ടി	2.30	4 ,,

കുറിപ്പ്: (1) റക്ക, കാൽ —കൂടുതലായി വരുന്ന ഒരു റക്കയ്ക്കും ഒരു കാലിനും അതല്ല ഒരു റക്ക മാത്രമായോ ഒരു കാൽ മാത്രമായോ കൂടുതലായി വരുന്നെങ്കിലും അപ്രകാരമുള്ള ഓരോ മീററിനും 2 പൈസ കൂടുതൽ കൊടുക്കണം.

(5) ജക്കാർഡ്-ഡോബി-ടൗവ്വൽ (അച്ചു നമ്പർ—60-പാവ് ഊട 2/20s-10 റക്ക കൂലി നിരക്ക് കഷണം ഒന്നിന്.

	ടൗവ്വൽ	കഷണം	ഡിസൈൻ	കുറഞ്ഞകൂലി പുതുക്കി നിശ്ചയിച്ചത്	അദ്ധ്വാനഭാരം
1.	12″×12″	(സെ. മീ. 30.48×30.48)	ഡബിൾ പീസ്	25 അര.	36 കഷണം (18 ഡബിൾ)
2.	15″×24″	(സെ. മീ. 38.10×60.96)	ടി	51 സ.	18 കഷണം
3.	18″×28″	(സെ. മീ. 45.72×71.12)	ടി	57 സ.	16 ,,
4.	24″×36″	(സെ. മീ. 38.10×91.44)	സിംഗിൾ പീസ്	1.40	ആറര കഷണം
5.	24″×48″	(സെ. മീ. 38.10×121.92)	ടി	1.86	5 ,,
6.	27″×54″	(സെ. മീ. 68.58×135.16)	ടി	2.01	നാലര കഷണം
7.	30″×60″	(സെ. മീ. 76.20×152.40)	ടി	2.31	4 ,,
8.	36″×72″	(സെ. മീ. 91.44×182.88	ടി	3.09	3 ,,

കുറിപ്പ്: (1) പത്തു റക്കയിൽ കൂടുതലായ വരുന്ന ഓരോ റക്കയ്ക്കും ഒരു മീററിനു 2 പൈസ ക്രമത്തിൽ കൂടുതൽ കൊടുക്കണം.

(6) സാധാരണ ജക്കാർഡ് ടൗവ്വൽ (അച്ചു നമ്പർ 60 പാവ് ഊട 2/20s-10 റക്ക)

കൂലിനിരക്ക് കഷണം ഒന്നുക്ക്

	കഷണം	ഡിസൈൻ	കുറഞ്ഞകൂലി പുതുക്കി നിശ്ചയിച്ചത്	അദ്ധ്വാനഭാരം
			രൂ.	
1.	12″×12″ (സെ. മീ. 30.48×30.48)	ഡബിൾ	0.27	18 ഡബിൾ 36 സിംഗിൾ
2.	15″×24″ (സെ.മീ.38.10×60.96)	ടി	0.51	9 ഡബിൾ 18 സിംഗിൾ
3.	18″×28″ (സെ.മീ.45.72×71.12)	ടി	0.60	8 ഡബിൾ 16 സിംഗിൾ
4.	24″×36″ (സെ.മീ.50.96×91.44)	സിംഗിൾ	1.40	ആറര കഷണം
5.	24″×48″ (സെ. മീ. 60.96×121.92)	ടി	1.86	5 കഷണം
6.	27″×54″ (സെ. മീ. 68.58×135.16)	ടി	2.01	നാലര കഷണം
7.	30″×60″ (സെ. മീ. 76.20×152.40)	ടി	2.31	4 ,,
8.	36″×72″ (സെ. മീ. 91.44×182.88)	ടി	3.15	3 ,,

കുറിപ്പ്: എല്ലാ തരത്തിലുമുള്ള അസാധാരണ ജക്കാർഡ് ടൗവ്വലിൽനിന്നും മേൽനിശ്ചയിച്ച മിനിമം കൂലിയെ അടിസ്ഥാനപ്പെടുത്തിയിട്ടുള്ള വ്യത്യാസം നിലനിർത്തേണ്ടതാണ്.

(7) ജക്കാർഡ് സാധാരണ കർട്ടൻ ക്ലോത്ത് കൂലിനിരക്ക്

അച്ചുനമ്പർ	പാവ് ഊട്	വീതി	കുറഞ്ഞകൂലി പുതുക്കി നിശ്ചയിച്ചത് (മീററിന്)	അദ്ധ്വാനഭാരം
			രൂ. സ.	
54	2/20s	(48″(121.92 സെ. മീ.)	1.81	ആറര മീററർ
60-64	ടി	ടി	1.87	,,
72	ടി	ടി	2.02	ആറു മീററർ

കുറിപ്പ് : (1) പാവിനോ ഊടയ്ക്കോ ആർട്ട് സിൽക്കോ സ്റേപ്പിൾ നൂലോ ഉപയോഗിക്കുന്നുവെങ്കിൽ മേൽ നിശ്ചയിച്ച മിനിമം കൂലിയെ അടിസ്ഥാനപ്പെടുത്തിയുള്ള വ്യത്യാസം നിലനിർത്തേണ്ടതാണ്.

(2) വീതി കൂടുകയോ ഡിസൈൻ മാറുകയോ ചെയ്യുന്നുണ്ടെങ്കിൽ മേൽ നിശ്ചയിച്ച മിനിമം കൂലിയെ അടിസ്ഥാനപ്പെടുത്തിയുള്ള വ്യത്യാസം നിലനിർത്തേണ്ടതാണ്.

(8) പോളിയെസ്ററർ ഇനങ്ങൾക്ക് കൂലി നിശ്ചയിക്കുന്നത്

(നൂലും കൂലിയും വ്യവസ്ഥയിലെപ്പോലെ മൊത്തം കൂലിയുടെ ⅓ അനുബന്ധ ജോലികൾക്കായി വകയിരുത്തണം)

നൂൽ നമ്പർ	ഇനം	വീതി	അച്ച്	കുറഞ്ഞ കൂലി നിശ്ചയിക്കുന്നത് (മീററിന്)	അദ്ധ്വാനഭാരം
				രൂ.	
2/80s	ഷർട്ടിംഗ്	42"	80	2.22	ആറര മീററർ
2/32s	കോട്ടിംഗ്	62"	64	3.00	6 ,,
2/100s	മുണ്ട്	62"	72	3.14	6 ,,

(സി) ക്ഷാമബത്ത (ഡി.എ.)

മേൽ വിവരിച്ചിട്ടുള്ള അടിസ്ഥാന കൂലികൾ കൺസ്യൂമർ പ്രൈസ് ഇൻഡക്സിന്റെ (ന്യൂ സീരീസ്) 110 പോയിൻറിനു തുല്യമായി കണക്കാക്കിയിരിക്കുന്നു.

അടിസ്ഥാനകൂലിക്കു പുറമേ ഓരോ ദിവസത്തെയും ജോലി അദ്ധ്വാനഭാരം കണക്കിലെടുത്തുകൊണ്ട് കേരളാ ഗവൺമെൻറിന്റെ ബ്യൂറോ ഓഫ് എക്കണോമിക്സ് ആൻറ് സ്ററാററിസ്ററിക്സ് ഡിപ്പാർട്ടുമെൻറിൽനിന്നും എറണാകുളം സെൻററിനുവേണ്ടി പരസ്യപ്പെടുത്തുന്നതിൽ ഏററവും ഒടുവിൽ ലഭ്യമായ കൺസ്യൂമർ പ്രൈസ് ഇൻഡക്സിലെ 110 പോയിൻറിന് മുകളിലുള്ള ഓരോ പോയിൻറിനും 4 പൈസ ക്രമത്തിൽ ദിനബത്ത ലഭിക്കുന്നതിനു അർഹതയുണ്ടായിരിക്കുന്നതാണ്.

പുതുക്കി നിജപ്പെടുത്തിയിട്ടുള്ള കുറഞ്ഞ കൂലി (അടിസ്ഥാന കൂലി) യിൽനിന്നും ഏതെങ്കിലും സ്ഥലത്തെ തൊഴിലാളികൾക്ക് കൂടുതൽ കൂലി ലഭിക്കുന്നുണ്ടെങ്കിൽ അങ്ങനെയുള്ള കൂടുതൽ കൂലി തുടർന്നു ലഭിക്കുന്ന പ്രയോജനം നിലനിർത്തേണ്ടതാണ്.

By order of the Governor,
U. MAHABALA RAO,
Commissioner and Secretary to Government.

Explanatory Note

(This does not form part of the notification, but is intended to indicate its general purport).

As per orders issued in G. O. Rt. 460/81/LBR dated 30-3-1981 Government appointed a Committee to advise the Government in the matter of revision of wages payable to the employees in the Handloom Industry. This committee since submitted its report and it was referred to the Minimum Wages Advisory Board. The advice of the Board also has been received.

Government have decided to revise the minimum rates of wages payable to the employees working in Handloom Industry on the basis of the Committee report.

This notification is intended to achieve the above object.

PRINTED AND PUBLISHED BY THE S. G. P. AT THE GOVERNMENT PRESS, TRIVANDRUM, 1984.